

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

DEBRA WALKER, ET AL. *
*
v. *
* 3:85-CV-1210-O
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING *
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, ET AL., * CLASS ACTION
*

AGREED SETTLEMENT VOUCHER IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 2019

I.
INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) provided the Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA) 3,205 vouchers pursuant to HUD’s Settlement Stipulation and Order entered March 8, 2001 in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division (“Settlement Stipulation”). These Settlement Vouchers (also referred to as “Walker Settlement Vouchers” or “WSVs”) replace 3,205 public housing units DHA was required to develop in Predominantly White Areas (now referred to as “Eligible Census Tracts”) as stipulated in the Remedial Order Affecting DHA, entered February 7, 1995.

This Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan (the “Plan” or “SVIP”) is created pursuant to the Amended Agreed Final Judgment to which this Plan is attached (the “Judgment”).

II.
ELIGIBLE CENSUS TRACTS

DHA administers the Walker Settlement Voucher Program by assisting Class Members who participate in the Program in leasing housing of their choice in “Eligible Census Tracts” (formerly referred to as “Predominantly White Areas”) and offers financial incentives, as defined below, to owners who make their rental properties in Eligible Census Tracts available to “Walker

Clients.” As used herein, the term “Walker Client(s)” shall mean, as applicable, “Applicants” (meaning a person or family who is eligible for a Walker Settlement Voucher, and has either applied for a Settlement Voucher, but, has yet to lease a unit under the WSV Program, or resides in a R/ECAP¹ census tract as a current HCV participant and has agreed to transfer to the WSV Program) or “Participants” (meaning a person or family who has obtained a Walker Settlement Voucher and has leased a unit under the WSV Program).

As used in this Plan, the term “Eligible Census Tract” or “ECT,” (formerly referred to as a “Predominantly White Area”) means “a census tract that is not a ‘Minority Neighborhood’ (as that term is used by HUD) in which the percentage of persons of particular racial or ethnic minority, as based on the most recent decennial census, is at least 20 points higher than that minority’s percentage in the ‘Housing Market’ as a whole.” As used in this Plan, “Housing Market” is defined as the City of Dallas. In addition, an Eligible Census Tract must have a poverty rate at or below the average poverty rate for the City of Dallas as based on the most recent U.S. Census Bureau decennial census or the most recent American Community Survey data. In addition, except as previously approved or otherwise agreed to by the parties, the tract must have no public housing other than scattered-site single family homes. Tracts that the Plaintiffs and DHA agree should be treated as a “predominantly white area” or ECTS are also eligible ECTs. Units in the Eligible Census Tracts in Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman, Rockwall and Tarrant counties are eligible locations for Walker Clients and for financial assistance to Walker Clients.

Attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is the current list of the 2010 Eligible Census Tracts in

¹ “R/ECAP” as used herein refers to “Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty” as that term is used and defined by HUD.

the seven-county area and the calculated 2010 U.S. Census data status of those tracts as Eligible Census Tracts under the HUD Settlement Stipulation. Further, the Parties agree that tracts 130.04 (public housing in tract), 152.05 (Irving), 181.05 (Garland), 181.41 (Garland), 192.06 (UTD), 317.09 (40 units of public housing), and 318.04 (UTD) shall be considered Eligible Census Tracts under the Judgment and this Plan.

The 2020 U.S. Decennial Census data will be used to adjust current Eligible Census Tracts when the census tract population data is available. The most current American Community Survey poverty data will also be used to adjust the Eligible Census Tracts once the 2020 U.S. Decennial Census data is available for racial demographics.

III.
MOBILITY FUNDING FOR MOBILITY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The “Mobility Funding” committed by DHA for “Mobility Financial Assistance,” as defined by this Section under this and any subsequent Agreed Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan or amendment is \$3,000,000 (\$150,000 of which DHA has already provided) as of the date this Plan is approved by the Court. The Mobility Funding is available only for the payment of the following elements of Mobility Financial Assistance for Walker Clients or as otherwise defined by this Plan:

- i. Application fees
- ii. Security deposits,
- iii. Landlord bonuses,
- iv. Utility Deposits,
- v. Moving expenses,
- vi. Mobility Counseling Software, and
- vii. Administration Costs.

The Mobility Funding for the Mobility Financial Assistance shall be spent in accordance with this Plan.

If and when DHA exhausts the Mobility Funding for Mobility Financial Assistance committed to in the Judgment and defined therein, DHA will have no further obligation to provide Mobility Funding for Mobility Financial Assistance or any other monetary assistance under the terms of the Judgment or any other order previously entered in this case.

1. \$3,000,000 Available for Mobility Financial Assistance

DHA will provide \$3,000,000 for Mobility Financial Assistance for Walker Clients. Because DHA has already provided \$150,000 of Mobility Financial Assistance out of the \$3,000,000 it has committed to provide, \$2,850,000 is the amount of Mobility Financial Assistance that will be available as of the date this Plan is approved by the Court.

DHA will not deny Mobility Financial Assistance to a Walker Client because Inclusive Communities Project, Inc. (ICP) is providing mobility search assistance and other non-financial mobility counseling to the Walker Client. DHA will not deny Mobility Financial Assistance to a Settlement Voucher Walker Client because the Walker Client is participating in the ICP sublease/guarantor program.

2. Application fees

DHA will make Mobility Financial Assistance available to pay rental housing application fees for a Walker Client searching for housing in an Eligible Census Tract. Application fee assistance will be limited to \$150 in the aggregate for any one Walker Client or as otherwise agreed in writing by Plaintiffs' Class Counsel and DHA and/or its counsel. Payment will be made directly to the owner or reimbursed to the Walker Client, at the option of the Walker Client, upon receipt of proof of payment.

3. Security Deposit Assistance

A. Upon request and execution of the HAP contract, DHA will provide Mobility Financial Assistance to Walker Clients to pay security deposits, which shall be limited to one payment per Walker Client. The amount of this assistance will be based upon the size of the unit shown on the Settlement Voucher, or the unit size selected, whichever is lower. The payment will be equal to the lesser of the deposit charged by the owner or the following, or as otherwise agreed in writing by Plaintiffs' Class Counsel and DHA and/or its counsel:

One Bedroom: up to \$250,

Two Bedrooms: up to \$750,

Three Bedrooms: up to \$1,200,

Four Bedrooms: up to \$1,500,

Five Bedrooms: up to \$1,800,

Six Bedrooms: up to \$2,000.

B. DHA will not pay Security Deposit Assistance on behalf of a Walker Client for amounts that are higher than what is charged to unassisted tenants in the private rental market. Payment for security deposit assistance will be made directly to the owner or reimbursed to the Walker Client, at the option of the Walker Client, upon receipt of proof of payment.

C. Under state law, the landlord may deduct from the Security Deposit Assistance, the amount for which the Walker Client is legally liable under the lease. Texas Property Code, Section 92.104. State law also requires the landlord to provide an itemized statement to the Walker Client setting out the cost of repairs, if any, and an explanation of all amounts deducted from the security deposit when the tenant moves. The refund of the Security Deposit Assistance will be made directly to the Walker Client.

4. Landlord Bonus Incentive Payments

DHA may use Mobility Funding to pay an additional landlord incentive bonus payment to owners with properties in Eligible Census Tracts for Walker Clients. DHA may pay up to \$1,000 or an amount equal to the first month's rent, whichever is lower, as a landlord incentive bonus, if needed, to obtain a unit for the Walker Client.

5. Utility Deposits

Upon request and proof of payment, DHA will reimburse Walker Clients using Mobility Funding for utility deposits up to \$200 per family.

6. Moving Expenses

Upon request, DHA will provide Mobility Financial Assistance to Walker Clients to pay moving expenses up to \$200 per family.

7. Mobility Counseling Software

Unless otherwise agreed upon between the parties, DHA may use up to \$250,000 of Mobility Funding to use in development of software and a mobile application for use by Class Members and other DHA clients to identify and locate affordable housing in areas of high opportunity.

8. Administration Costs

DHA is authorized to spend no more than \$150,000 per year to pay the salary and benefits of DHA employees to assist in administering this Plan. The \$150,000 per year may also be used to pay costs related to updating and administering the waiting list (postage, software costs, etc.).

IV.
ISSUANCE OF SETTLEMENT VOUCHERS

1. Number of Settlement Vouchers in Use Or Available for Re-Issuance

As of the date of this Plan, DHA has 2,646 Settlement Vouchers that are either in use or available for re-issuance to Class Members.

2. Availability of Settlement Vouchers for Re-issuance

A. Each of the remaining Settlement Vouchers will remain available for re-issuance as a Settlement Voucher to another class member when the class member using the Settlement Voucher transfers to any other Dallas Housing Authority program. The withdrawal from the Walker Settlement Voucher program because of a Walker Client's inability to obtain a unit within the allotted or extended period is neither a voluntary nor an involuntary termination of participation in the Walker Settlement Program for purposes of the reassignment of the Walker Settlement Voucher. DHA will provide to Plaintiffs' Counsel a monthly report with a list of Walker Clients that have been sent a notice of program termination.

B. WSVs shall be reassigned when the WSV recipient is no longer eligible for the WSV but remains a participant in the Housing Choice Voucher program or another DHA housing program. One instance in which the WSV becomes available for reassignment is after a WSV participant moves to a location that is not in an Eligible Census Tract and remains a participant in the DHA Housing Voucher Program. A WSV is not eligible for reassignment if the WSV participant, while residing in an Eligible Census Tract, terminates or is terminated from participation in the voucher program and is no longer a participant in any DHA housing program.

C. A Settlement Voucher will become a regular Housing Choice Voucher when the class member using the Settlement Voucher ceases receiving benefits from any DHA housing program, whether such cessation of benefits is voluntarily or involuntarily. DHA will add

language in WSV termination notices to Walker Clients informing them of option to contact ICP. The ICP telephone number provided will be (214) 658-1339; the ICP email address provided will be: map@inclusivecommunities.net.

3. R/ECAP Priority Procedure and Waiting List Management

DHA will offer and issue Walker Settlement Vouchers to Class Members who meet the HUD HCV program eligibility criteria in accordance with the following priorities: First priority will be to regular HCV participants currently residing in a R/ECAP area; Second priority will be to applicants selected from DHA's WSV waiting list with consideration of whether those families are residing in a R/ECAP area; Third priority will be to all other applicants on DHA's WSV waiting list.

4. Eligibility Determination for Walker Settlement Voucher.

The Substitution Plan limits participation in the Program to African Americans and such eligibility shall be determined by the race of the Head of Household. DHA will select eligible applicants based on DHA's current Section 8 Administrative Plan.

5. ICP Referral Reassignment

A. ICP will provide to the DHA Walker Coordinator (or other appropriate DHA official or employee) the names of Class Members with a Housing Choice Voucher that want a reassigned Settlement Voucher and have a Request for Tenancy Approval ("RFTA") for a unit in an Eligible Census Tract. This process only applies if WSV are available for re-issuance.

B. DHA must verify that the unit is in an Eligible Census Tract and that the family is an eligible class member. If verified, the RFTA shall be stamped "WALKER REASSIGNMENT" and processed as a Walker Settlement Voucher, subject to DHA's internal process and procedures. The applicable WSV payment standard will begin at the time of the effective date of

the HUD Form 50058 created to implement such reassignment.

6. Retaining a Settlement Voucher After Census Tract Location Becomes Ineligible (Conversion)

A. After the determination of census tract eligibility for Settlement Voucher placement is made pursuant to the 2020 U.S. Decennial Census, DHA will prepare a list of Walker Clients it asserts are no longer located in Settlement Voucher Eligible Census Tracts. DHA will provide to Plaintiffs' Class Counsel the list of these Walker Clients that includes the name of the voucher holder, the DHA client number of the voucher holder, the street address of the unit including street name, street number, zip code, the new census tract number and the census tract number under the 2010 U.S. Decennial Census.

B. DHA will send a notice to each current Walker Client who is no longer living in an Eligible Census Tract. The notice will advise the Walker Client that their current WSV will change to a regular Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher unless the Walker Client notifies DHA that she or he wishes to move to a unit in a location in which the WSV can be used. The notice should state that the reason is because of changes in U.S. Census data that make the tract ineligible for Settlement Vouchers. DHA's notice should inform the household that the change does not mean the family will lose their voucher. The notice should state that the change will cause the voucher to become a regular Housing Choice Voucher without the Walker Settlement Voucher payment standard unless the household moves to an eligible location before the second regular re-examination after the date the parties agree to the Eligible Census Tracts or the Court orders the implementation of new Eligible Census Tracts. This deadline can be extended to the expiration of the current lease term or for other good cause, such as waiting until the end of a school year. DHA will send a copy of each notice to Plaintiffs' Class Counsel at the same time the notice is sent to the Walker Client.

C. The notice should provide the Walker Client with the name, phone number, and email address of a DHA employee who can answer questions about the notice and the change. The notice should provide the Walker Client with the Inclusive Communities Project, Inc.'s (ICP) availability to provide information about the notice and the change. The ICP telephone number to be provided is (214) 658-1339. The ICP email address to be provided is: map@inclusivecommunities.net.

D. The notice should inform the Walker Client that if she or he wants to continue as a Walker Client, then mobility counseling—including search assistance and financial assistance—may be available for a move to an Eligible Census Tract. The Mobility Financial Assistance for conversion Walker Clients may include a security deposit payment if the Walker Client has not received previous security deposit assistance. The notice should state that ICP's mobility counseling and search assistance is also available to the Walker Client.

E. If a Walker Client does not move to an eligible census tract and becomes a regular Housing Choice Voucher participant, the Settlement Voucher is available for re-issuance to another class member. DHA will offer the number of vouchers for re-issuance to current HCV participants living in R/ECAP areas, then to current HCV participants living in a Walker ECT.

7. Relocation Does Not Terminate Settlement Voucher

The continuation of a class member's participation in the Settlement Voucher program by the class member's relocation from a census tract that becomes ineligible because of a change in relevant U.S. Census data for that tract is neither the termination of participation in the Walker Settlement Voucher program nor the re-issuance of a Settlement Voucher.

V.
ORIENTATION & ELIGIBILITY BRIEFING

1. Information Provided

A. DHA, with ICP's participation, will provide an orientation briefing packet with information on Settlement Voucher housing opportunities for Class Members. DHA will provide information on the benefits and limitations of the Settlement Voucher Program. The benefits may include but are not limited to:

a. The information required by 24 C.F.R. § 985.3(g), 24 C.F.R. § 982.301(a)(3) and 24 C.F.R. § 982.301(b) (11), (15).

b. opportunity to live in good quality, safe neighborhoods with access to good schools and employment prospects;

c. monetary assistance to defray the cost of application fees, security deposits, and moving expenses, as available;

d. higher payment standards to defray the cost of higher rents;

e. assistance and counseling in locating housing;

f. financial incentives to prospective landlords.

B. DHA will provide information on the following limitations of the Settlement Voucher program:

a. Settlement Vouchers must always be used in Eligible Census Tracts;

b. Walker Clients must find housing in the Eligible Census Tracts in Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman, Rockwall or Tarrant Counties;

c. Walker Clients will not be able to use their Settlement Vouchers to move outside of these areas under the portability feature of the Housing Choice Voucher Program;

d. Landlords in Eligible Census Tracts generally may have more stringent screening criteria and may be unwilling to lease to anyone with a criminal background, poor credit, and/or rental history absent a guarantor or higher security deposit; and

e. Class members may encounter discrimination in their search for housing. DHA asks that any Class Members who believe they are being discriminated against by landlords to inform their DHA contact person. Class members are also encouraged to report possible discrimination to HUD or the City of Dallas Fair Housing Offices

DHA will brief Walker Clients and provide information regarding the WSV Program, DHA policies and procedures, fair housing, and other information concerning locating housing in Eligible Census Tracts.

2. Search Period

Each Walker Client will be authorized to search for suitable housing in Eligible Census Tracts for 120 days. During the search period, DHA, with the assistance of ICP, will offer assistance to evaluate problems encountered during their initial housing search.

3. Cooperation with ICP

DHA will continue to provide ICP with access to its Housing Choice Voucher Program briefings and Settlement Voucher briefings and waiting list briefings as part of ICP's program providing mobility assistance to Plaintiff Class Members. DHA will provide ICP with a copy of the sign-in sheet from any Walker Settlement Voucher briefing.

VI.
PAYMENT STANDARD

The payment standard for Settlement Vouchers is up to 125% of the relevant SAFMR. Upon HUD publication of new SAFMRs, the payment standard based on those SAFMRs shall be effective no later than three months immediately following HUD's final publication of the SAFMRs for the next fiscal or calendar year and in compliance with 24 C.F.R. 982.503(b).

VII.
LANDLORD RECRUITMENT

1. Landlord Outreach

DHA will conduct outreach to landlords with properties in Eligible Census Tracts in DHA's area of operation, which includes Dallas, Tarrant, Denton, Collin, Kaufman, Rockwall, and Ellis counties and provide the landlords with information about the WSV Program, the availability of landlord incentive programs, and work with ICP regarding providing information about its programs including the sublease/guarantor program.

DHA will maintain a record of each contact with a landlord with a property or properties in Eligible Census Tracts that includes any reason given by a landlord for not listing their property as available for Walker Clients. This record shall be made available upon request by Plaintiffs' Class Counsel.

2. Resources About Landlords

DHA's information packet for each Walker Client will include information on available resources regarding landlords or available units and other relevant information to assist Walker Clients in locating and obtaining suitable housing and comply with the requirements of 24 C.F.R. 982.301(b).


VIII.
SHORTFALL PROCEDURE

In the event DHA is instructed by HUD to suspend or cease issuance or re-issuance of any Settlement Voucher based on a lack of HUD-provided Housing Assistance Payment funding due to DHA entering “Shortfall” funding status (as that term is used by HUD) or for any other reason, DHA will provide Plaintiffs’ Class Counsel with the documents containing the information relating to the HUD Shortfall funding or other reason for the instruction to cease issuance or re-issuance of Walker Settlement Vouchers.

IX.
REPORTS AND DOCUMENTS TO BE PROVIDED

DHA will provide the reports, documents, and information required by the Judgment, including those reports identified in Paragraph 13 of the Judgment, in a computer readable format appropriate to the information being provided and including the information as set forth in the document attached as Exhibit 2 to this Plan, which is a template showing the reports DHA will provide under Paragraph 13 of the Judgment and identifying the information that will be provided in those reports.


AGREED:



MICHAEL M. DANIEL

LAURA B. BESHARA

Counsel for Plaintiffs



KATIE ANDERSON

Counsel for the Housing Authority
of the City of Dallas, Texas

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	301	Anna	0.7%	8.2%		WTA	
Collin	301	Blue Ridge	0.7%	8.2%		WTA	
Collin	301	Farmersville	0.7%	8.2%		WTA	
Collin	302.01	Anna	2.0%	1.2%		WTA	
Collin	302.01	McKinney	2.0%	1.2%		WTA	
Collin	302.01	Melissa	2.0%	1.2%		WTA	
Collin	302.01	New Hope	2.0%	1.2%		WTA	
Collin	302.02	Anna	1.6%	9.7%		WTA	
Collin	302.02	McKinney	1.6%	9.7%		WTA	
Collin	302.02	Melissa	1.6%	9.7%		WTA	
Collin	302.02	Weston	1.6%	9.7%		WTA	
Collin	302.03	Anna	7.2%	12.5%		WTA	
Collin	302.03	Melissa	7.2%	12.5%		WTA	
Collin	303.01	Celina	12.0%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	303.01	McKinney	12.0%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	303.02	Celina	4.6%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	303.02	Frisco	4.6%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	303.02	Prosper	4.6%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	303.03	Frisco	6.6%	1.6%		WTA	
Collin	303.03	Prosper	6.6%	1.6%		WTA	
Collin	303.04	Frisco	4.2%	10.2%		WTA	
Collin	303.04	Prosper	4.2%	10.2%		WTA	
Collin	303.05	Celina	3.5%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	303.05	McKinney	3.5%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	303.05	Prosper	3.5%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	304.03	Frisco	6.7%	7.0%		WTA	
Collin	304.04	Frisco	8.4%	5.7%		WTA	
Collin	304.05	Frisco	12.1%	6.7%		WTA	
Collin	304.06	Frisco	8.6%	18.9%		WTA	
Collin	304.07	Frisco	5.7%	0.7%		WTA	
Collin	304.08	Frisco	11.1%	14.3%		WTA	
Collin	305.04	Frisco	12.5%	5.6%		WTA	
Collin	305.05	Frisco	13.9%	2.3%		WTA	
Collin	305.06	Frisco	5.7%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.07	Frisco	3.4%	2.7%		WTA	
Collin	305.08	Frisco	4.0%	0.9%		WTA	
Collin	305.09	Frisco	7.3%	1.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.1	Frisco	14.4%	1.2%		WTA	
Collin	305.11	Frisco	8.7%	0.5%		WTA	
Collin	305.12	Frisco	4.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Collin	305.13	McKinney	13.6%	12.3%		WTA	



County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	305.14	McKinney	11.3%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.15	McKinney	13.3%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.16	McKinney	14.3%	6.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.17	McKinney	10.5%	1.1%		WTA	
Collin	305.18	Frisco	11.6%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.19	Frisco	13.5%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.2	Frisco	16.0%	4.5%		WTA	
Collin	305.21	Frisco	16.5%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.22	McKinney	15.1%	4.6%		WTA	
Collin	305.22	Frisco	15.1%	4.6%		WTA	
Collin	305.23	McKinney	15.2%	10.7%		WTA	
Collin	305.23	Frisco	15.2%	10.7%		WTA	
Collin	305.24	McKinney	15.3%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.24	Frisco	15.3%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	305.25	McKinney	5.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.25	Frisco	5.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	305.26	McKinney	8.3%	7.3%		WTA	
Collin	305.27	McKinney	14.2%	7.6%		WTA	
Collin	305.28	McKinney	6.5%	3.7%		WTA	
Collin	305.29	McKinney	9.0%	4.9%		WTA	
Collin	305.3	McKinney	4.1%	2.7%		WTA	
Collin	305.31	McKinney	4.5%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	306.01	McKinney	5.9%	1.7%		WTA	
Collin	306.03	McKinney	13.1%	8.4%		WTA	
Collin	306.04	McKinney	19.7%	9.9%		WTA	
Collin	306.05	McKinney	18.2%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	307.01	McKinney	16.7%	37.3%		Not Eligible	
Collin	307.02	McKinney	6.8%	27.6%		Not Eligible	
Collin	308.01	Fairview	15.2%	17.3%		WTA	
Collin	308.01	McKinney	15.2%	17.3%		WTA	
Collin	308.02	McKinney	14.0%	27.1%		Not Eligible	
Collin	309	Fairview	17.3%	33.2%		Not Eligible	
Collin	309	McKinney	17.3%	33.2%		Not Eligible	
Collin	310.01	Lowry Crossing	1.8%	7.7%		WTA	
Collin	310.01	McKinney	1.8%	7.7%		WTA	
Collin	310.01	Princeton	1.8%	7.7%		WTA	
Collin	310.01	Wylie	1.8%	7.7%		WTA	
Collin	310.03	McKinney	8.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Collin	310.03	New Hope	8.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Collin	310.03	Princeton	8.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Collin	310.04	Princeton	2.6%	8.2%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	310.04	Wylie	2.6%	8.2%		WTA	
Collin	311	Farmersville	4.8%	14.0%		WTA	
Collin	311	Nevada	4.8%	14.0%		WTA	
Collin	311	Wylie	4.8%	14.0%		WTA	
Collin	312.01	Josephine	2.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	312.01	Lavon	2.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	312.01	Nevada	2.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	312.01	Wylie	2.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	312.02	Dallas	4.7%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	312.02	Lavon	4.7%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	312.02	Royse City	4.7%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	313.08	St. Paul	10.9%	4.7%		WTA	
Collin	313.08	Wylie	10.9%	4.7%		WTA	
Collin	313.09	Wylie	14.8%	2.6%		WTA	
Collin	313.1	Dallas	8.2%	4.8%		WTA	
Collin	313.1	Wylie	8.2%	4.8%		WTA	
Collin	313.11	Sachse	9.6%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.11	Wylie	9.6%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.12	Parker	8.6%	3.9%		WTA	
Collin	313.12	Plano	8.6%	3.9%		WTA	
Collin	313.13	Allen	8.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.13	Lucas	8.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.13	Murphy	8.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.13	Parker	8.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.14	Lucas	4.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.14	St. Paul	4.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.14	Wylie	4.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	313.15	Murphy	15.2%	6.3%		WTA	
Collin	313.15	Parker	15.2%	6.3%		WTA	
Collin	313.15	Wylie	15.2%	6.3%		WTA	
Collin	313.16	Garland	11.0%	6.1%		WTA	
Collin	313.16	Murphy	11.0%	6.1%		WTA	
Collin	313.16	Plano	11.0%	6.1%		WTA	
Collin	313.16	Richardson	11.0%	6.1%		WTA	
Collin	313.17	Murphy	12.6%	3.3%		WTA	
Collin	313.17	Sachse	12.6%	3.3%		WTA	
Collin	313.17	Wylie	12.6%	3.3%		WTA	
Collin	314.05	Allen	5.9%	2.3%		WTA	
Collin	314.05	Plano	5.9%	2.3%		WTA	
Collin	314.06	Allen	9.1%	2.5%		WTA	
Collin	314.06	Fairview	9.1%	2.5%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	314.07	Allen	2.2%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.07	Fairview	2.2%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.07	Lucas	2.2%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.07	McKinney	2.2%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.08	Allen	11.1%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	314.08	Lucas	11.1%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	314.09	Allen	10.7%	1.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.09	Plano	10.7%	1.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.1	Allen	10.3%	4.6%		WTA	
Collin	314.11	Allen	14.2%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	314.11	Parker	14.2%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	315.04	Allen	6.3%	5.1%		WTA	
Collin	315.05	Allen	4.7%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	315.05	Plano	4.7%	2.9%		WTA	
Collin	315.06	Allen	15.1%	7.6%		WTA	
Collin	315.07	Allen	7.6%	7.9%		WTA	
Collin	315.08	Allen	9.7%	5.4%		WTA	
Collin	316.11	Plano	16.5%	13.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.12	Plano	5.9%	2.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.13	Plano	3.7%	4.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.21	Plano	9.0%	6.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.22	Plano	6.9%	2.1%		WTA	
Collin	316.23	Plano	6.4%	9.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.24	Plano	9.7%	29.9%		Not Eligible	
Collin	316.25	Plano	5.0%	4.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.26	Plano	4.1%	1.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.27	Plano	6.4%	4.1%		WTA	
Collin	316.28	Plano	7.7%	5.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.29	Plano	9.0%	8.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.3	Plano	6.2%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.31	Plano	14.7%	9.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.32	Allen	9.7%	7.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.32	Plano	9.7%	7.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.33	Plano	7.6%	1.7%		WTA	
Collin	316.34	Plano	9.8%	10.2%		WTA	
Collin	316.35	Plano	18.8%	7.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.36	Plano	6.1%	2.4%		WTA	
Collin	316.37	Plano	4.3%	0.5%		WTA	
Collin	316.38	Plano	2.6%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.39	Plano	9.1%	5.2%		WTA	
Collin	316.4	Plano	12.8%	7.8%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	316.41	Plano	4.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.42	Plano	4.9%	2.7%		WTA	
Collin	316.43	Plano	6.8%	7.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.45	Plano	1.7%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.46	Plano	4.1%	3.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.47	Hebron	8.6%	4.2%		WTA	
Collin	316.47	Plano	8.6%	4.2%		WTA	
Collin	316.48	Plano	5.2%	2.7%		WTA	
Collin	316.49	Carrollton	3.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.49	Dallas	3.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.49	Hebron	3.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.49	Plano	3.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.52	Plano	8.5%	3.8%		WTA	
Collin	316.53	Plano	9.8%	5.3%		WTA	
Collin	316.54	Plano	2.2%	4.5%		WTA	
Collin	316.55	Plano	8.2%	12.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.56	Plano	12.7%	1.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.57	Plano	12.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible	
Collin	316.58	Plano	10.6%	11.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.59	Plano	11.8%	0.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.6	Plano	13.1%	4.7%		WTA	
Collin	316.61	Plano	5.9%	2.6%		WTA	
Collin	316.62	Plano	5.5%	2.0%		WTA	
Collin	316.63	Plano	6.3%	0.9%		WTA	
Collin	316.64	Plano	4.6%	2.7%		WTA	
Collin	317.04	Dallas	13.1%	8.9%		WTA	
Collin	317.06	Dallas	3.2%	2.8%		WTA	
Collin	317.08	Dallas	13.7%	14.9%		WTA	
Collin	317.09	Dallas	9.2%	2.9%	Yes	Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Collin	317.09	Plano	9.2%	2.9%	Yes	Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Collin	317.11	Dallas	12.2%	0.3%		WTA	
Collin	317.12	Dallas	17.8%	7.6%		WTA	
Collin	317.13	Dallas	25.3%	8.8%		WTA	
Collin	317.14	Dallas	35.1%	15.3%		Not Eligible	
Collin	317.14	Plano	35.1%	15.3%		Not Eligible	
Collin	317.15	Dallas	5.1%	3.9%		WTA	
Collin	317.15	Plano	5.1%	3.9%		WTA	
Collin	317.16	Dallas	11.4%	12.5%		WTA	
Collin	317.17	Dallas	16.7%	23.5%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Collin	317.18	Dallas	5.2%	8.2%		WTA	
Collin	317.19	Dallas	6.5%	9.4%		WTA	
Collin	317.2	Dallas	19.7%	32.1%		Not Eligible	
Collin	318.02	Plano	9.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Collin	318.02	Richardson	9.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Collin	318.04	Dallas	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Collin	318.04	Plano	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Collin	318.04	Richardson	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Collin	318.05	Richardson	2.8%	3.0%		WTA	
Collin	318.06	Richardson	6.9%	11.7%		WTA	
Collin	318.07	Plano	3.0%	12.5%		WTA	
Collin	318.07	Richardson	3.0%	12.5%		WTA	
Collin	319	Plano	14.7%	28.5%		Not Eligible	
Collin	319	Richardson	14.7%	28.5%		Not Eligible	
Collin	320.03	Plano	10.3%	16.9%		WTA	
Collin	320.04	Plano	11.6%	11.9%		WTA	
Collin	320.08	Plano	11.3%	11.1%		WTA	
Collin	320.09	Garland	6.2%	0.6%		WTA	
Collin	320.09	Richardson	6.2%	0.6%		WTA	
Collin	320.1	Plano	18.2%	17.9%		WTA	
Collin	320.11	Garland	8.4%	0.5%		WTA	
Collin	320.11	Plano	8.4%	0.5%		WTA	
Collin	320.11	Richardson	8.4%	0.5%		WTA	
Collin	320.12	Plano	10.7%	7.4%		WTA	
Collin	320.13	Plano	14.5%	17.0%		WTA	
Dallas	1	Dallas	3.1%	8.4%		WTA	
Dallas	2.01	Dallas	1.1%	4.5%		WTA	
Dallas	2.02	Dallas	1.7%	4.6%		WTA	
Dallas	3	Dallas	2.7%	7.6%		WTA	
Dallas	3	Highland Park	2.7%	7.6%		WTA	
Dallas	4.01	Dallas	11.9%	29.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	4.04	Dallas	6.2%	25.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	4.05	Dallas	35.2%	47.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	4.06	Dallas	2.9%	34.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	5	Dallas	7.5%	32.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	6.01	Dallas	7.4%	25.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	6.01	Highland Park	7.4%	25.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	6.03	Dallas	4.1%	5.8%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	6.03	Highland Park	4.1%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	6.05	Dallas	5.1%	8.1%		WTA	
Dallas	6.06	Dallas	3.0%	5.6%		WTA	
Dallas	6.06	Highland Park	3.0%	5.6%		WTA	
Dallas	7.01	Dallas	2.7%	9.4%		WTA	
Dallas	7.02	Dallas	3.0%	20.3%		WTA	
Dallas	7.02	Highland Park	3.0%	20.3%		WTA	
Dallas	8	Dallas	16.5%	29.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	9	Dallas	4.8%	38.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	10.01	Dallas	2.0%	2.0%		WTA	
Dallas	10.02	Dallas	2.5%	8.0%		WTA	
Dallas	11.01	Dallas	3.9%	12.6%		WTA	
Dallas	11.02	Dallas	3.1%	2.4%		WTA	
Dallas	12.02	Dallas	17.8%	15.1%		WTA	
Dallas	12.03	Dallas	2.4%	19.9%		WTA	
Dallas	12.04	Dallas	2.3%	45.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	13.01	Dallas	5.5%	17.3%		WTA	
Dallas	13.02	Dallas	9.0%	14.0%		WTA	
Dallas	14	Dallas	12.7%	28.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	15.02	Dallas	12.0%	23.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	15.03	Dallas	13.9%	37.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	15.04	Dallas	17.1%	31.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	16	Dallas	42.0%	46.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	17.01	Dallas	6.7%	0.0%		WTA	
Dallas	17.03	Dallas	3.6%	0.8%		WTA	
Dallas	17.04	Dallas	3.1%	3.0%		WTA	
Dallas	18	Dallas	3.4%	4.5%		WTA	
Dallas	19	Dallas	11.5%	13.8%	Yes	Not Eligible	
Dallas	20	Dallas	18.0%	32.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	21	Dallas	23.3%	4.6%		WTA	
Dallas	22	Dallas	18.2%	19.7%		WTA	
Dallas	24	Dallas	3.5%	37.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	25	Dallas	27.9%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	27.01	Dallas	90.6%	71.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	27.02	Dallas	88.8%	35.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	31.01	Dallas	12.6%	4.6%		WTA	
Dallas	34	Dallas	73.3%	45.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	37	Dallas	91.6%	33.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	38	Dallas	95.2%	34.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	39.01	Dallas	93.8%	47.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	39.02	Dallas	74.8%	38.3%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	40	Dallas	89.0%	27.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	41	Dallas	58.9%	67.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	42.01	Dallas	4.0%	19.5%		WTA	
Dallas	42.02	Dallas	4.4%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	43	Dallas	11.0%	34.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	44	Dallas	6.4%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	45	Dallas	2.8%	14.3%		WTA	
Dallas	46	Dallas	7.1%	9.0%		WTA	
Dallas	47	Dallas	5.1%	38.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	48	Dallas	4.7%	25.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	49	Dallas	59.8%	43.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	50	Dallas	8.0%	25.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	51	Dallas	2.9%	28.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	52	Dallas	2.3%	19.6%		WTA	
Dallas	53	Dallas	1.9%	20.5%		WTA	
Dallas	54	Dallas	42.1%	39.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	55	Dallas	57.1%	33.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	56	Dallas	25.5%	35.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	57	Dallas	59.5%	41.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	59.01	Dallas	74.6%	30.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	59.02	Dallas	73.3%	22.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	60.01	Dallas	22.7%	46.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	60.02	Dallas	51.5%	34.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	61	Dallas	45.6%	28.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	62	Dallas	32.7%	24.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	63.01	Dallas	9.6%	23.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	63.02	Dallas	3.0%	16.1%		WTA	
Dallas	64.01	Dallas	1.6%	21.4%		WTA	
Dallas	64.02	Dallas	1.6%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	65.01	Cockrell Hill	4.5%	16.5%		WTA	
Dallas	65.01	Dallas	4.5%	16.5%		WTA	
Dallas	65.02	Dallas	1.9%	19.3%		WTA	
Dallas	67	Cockrell Hill	2.3%	21.7%		WTA	
Dallas	67	Dallas	2.3%	21.7%		WTA	
Dallas	68	Dallas	8.8%	19.7%		WTA	
Dallas	69	Dallas	13.9%	28.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	71.01	Dallas	2.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	71.01	Highland Park	2.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	71.02	Dallas	37.9%	21.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	72.01	Dallas	3.4%	32.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	72.02	Dallas	2.8%	34.0%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	73.01	Dallas	0.6%	2.1%		WTA	
Dallas	73.01	University Park	0.6%	2.1%		WTA	
Dallas	73.02	Dallas	3.7%	7.7%		WTA	
Dallas	76.01	Dallas	1.2%	1.5%		WTA	
Dallas	76.04	Dallas	1.0%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	76.05	Dallas	1.4%	3.2%		WTA	
Dallas	77	Dallas	0.9%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	78.01	Dallas	2.6%	2.7%		WTA	
Dallas	78.04	Dallas	62.4%	25.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.05	Dallas	24.7%	11.9%		WTA	
Dallas	78.09	Dallas	19.7%	26.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.1	Dallas	21.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Dallas	78.11	Dallas	61.6%	29.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.12	Dallas	1.8%	1.6%		WTA	
Dallas	78.15	Dallas	23.2%	46.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.18	Dallas	21.8%	29.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.19	Dallas	25.8%	42.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.2	Dallas	24.1%	40.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.21	Dallas	15.1%	21.9%		WTA	
Dallas	78.22	Dallas	15.8%	2.4%		WTA	
Dallas	78.23	Dallas	12.5%	34.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.24	Dallas	1.9%	0.0%		WTA	
Dallas	78.25	Dallas	32.9%	15.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.26	Dallas	46.9%	33.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	78.27	Dallas	54.2%	21.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	79.02	Dallas	4.6%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	79.03	Dallas	4.8%	8.6%		WTA	
Dallas	79.06	Dallas	1.1%	6.2%		WTA	
Dallas	79.09	Dallas	12.0%	10.9%		WTA	
Dallas	79.1	Dallas	10.6%	9.6%		WTA	
Dallas	79.11	Dallas	8.4%	8.8%		WTA	
Dallas	79.12	Dallas	8.6%	13.1%		WTA	
Dallas	79.13	Dallas	16.3%	4.9%		WTA	
Dallas	79.14	Dallas	10.9%	21.4%		WTA	
Dallas	80	Dallas	0.7%	0.7%		WTA	
Dallas	81	Dallas	4.4%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	82	Dallas	16.7%	9.8%		WTA	
Dallas	84	Dallas	6.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	85	Dallas	15.7%	25.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	86.03	Dallas	62.2%	33.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	86.04	Dallas	80.0%	56.5%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	87.01	Dallas	91.8%	46.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	87.03	Dallas	68.1%	28.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	87.04	Dallas	89.5%	39.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	87.05	Dallas	79.9%	12.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	88.01	Dallas	83.3%	21.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	88.02	Dallas	77.4%	40.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	89	Dallas	68.7%	44.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	90	Dallas	31.6%	22.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	91.01	Dallas	26.6%	25.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	91.03	Dallas	32.9%	24.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	91.04	Dallas	20.2%	34.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	91.05	Dallas	10.9%	26.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	92.01	Dallas	22.9%	15.3%		WTA	
Dallas	92.02	Dallas	12.8%	28.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	93.01	Dallas	7.0%	29.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	93.03	Dallas	15.5%	35.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	93.04	Dallas	69.3%	54.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	94.01	Dallas	3.5%	7.7%		WTA	
Dallas	94.02	Dallas	1.3%	5.7%		WTA	
Dallas	95	Dallas	2.0%	4.9%		WTA	
Dallas	96.03	Dallas	6.2%	2.1%		WTA	
Dallas	96.04	Dallas	11.0%	21.5%		WTA	
Dallas	96.05	Dallas	7.4%	17.3%		WTA	
Dallas	96.07	Dallas	3.8%	12.0%		WTA	
Dallas	96.08	Dallas	8.7%	17.1%		WTA	
Dallas	96.09	Dallas	2.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	96.1	Dallas	4.7%	41.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	96.11	Dallas	6.6%	16.2%		WTA	
Dallas	97.01	Dallas	4.4%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	97.02	Dallas	4.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	98.02	Dallas	2.0%	23.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	98.03	Dallas	11.2%	14.5%		WTA	
Dallas	98.04	Dallas	3.3%	51.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	99	Dallas	14.3%	20.2%		WTA	
Dallas	99	Farmers Branch	14.3%	20.2%		WTA	
Dallas	99	Irving	14.3%	20.2%		WTA	
Dallas	100	Dallas	48.1%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	100	Irving	48.1%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	101.01	Dallas	50.6%	39.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	101.02	Dallas	6.4%	32.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	105	Dallas	42.1%	26.4%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	106.01	Dallas	4.5%	23.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	106.02	Dallas	20.5%	31.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	107.01	Dallas	6.8%	24.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	107.03	Dallas	15.9%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	107.03	Grand Prairie	15.9%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	107.04	Dallas	22.5%	32.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	108.01	Dallas	13.7%	19.1%		WTA	
Dallas	108.03	Dallas	46.9%	8.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	108.04	Dallas	33.1%	35.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	108.05	Dallas	25.6%	25.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	109.02	Dallas	89.0%	35.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	109.03	Dallas	55.0%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	109.03	Duncanville	55.0%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	109.04	Dallas	81.0%	24.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	109.04	Duncanville	81.0%	24.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	110.01	Dallas	84.4%	15.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	110.02	Dallas	76.2%	8.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	111.01	Dallas	88.8%	7.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	111.03	Dallas	46.4%	19.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	111.04	Dallas	79.2%	22.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	111.05	Dallas	68.8%	30.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	112	Dallas	80.6%	24.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	112	Lancaster	80.6%	24.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	113	Dallas	92.3%	16.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	113	Lancaster	92.3%	16.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	114.01	Dallas	89.7%	57.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	115	Dallas	32.0%	61.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	116.01	Dallas	57.8%	32.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	116.02	Dallas	14.7%	28.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	117.01	Dallas	26.0%	29.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	117.02	Balch Springs	11.2%	14.0%		WTA	
Dallas	117.02	Dallas	11.2%	14.0%		WTA	
Dallas	118	Balch Springs	34.6%	43.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	118	Dallas	34.6%	43.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	119	Balch Springs	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	119	Dallas	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	119	Mesquite	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	120	Dallas	42.0%	19.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	121	Dallas	48.9%	25.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	122.04	Dallas	22.8%	13.5%		WTA	
Dallas	122.06	Dallas	44.5%	13.1%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	122.07	Dallas	46.9%	22.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	122.07	Mesquite	46.9%	22.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	122.08	Dallas	36.5%	47.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	122.09	Dallas	12.7%	21.1%		WTA	
Dallas	122.1	Dallas	53.3%	42.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	122.11	Dallas	43.4%	27.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	123.01	Dallas	13.6%	19.3%		WTA	
Dallas	123.02	Dallas	46.3%	46.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	123.02	Mesquite	46.3%	46.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	124	Dallas	6.0%	11.8%		WTA	
Dallas	125	Dallas	7.8%	15.6%		WTA	
Dallas	125	Mesquite	7.8%	15.6%		WTA	
Dallas	126.01	Dallas	18.1%	17.0%		WTA	
Dallas	126.01	Garland	18.1%	17.0%		WTA	
Dallas	126.03	Dallas	8.6%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	126.04	Dallas	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	126.04	Garland	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	126.04	Mesquite	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	127.01	Dallas	9.2%	24.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	127.01	Garland	9.2%	24.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	127.02	Dallas	10.8%	10.5%		WTA	
Dallas	128	Dallas	5.1%	7.5%		WTA	
Dallas	129	Dallas	6.9%	8.3%		WTA	
Dallas	130.04	Dallas	4.7%	3.6%	Yes	Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	130.05	Dallas	1.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	130.07	Dallas	16.0%	12.0%		WTA	
Dallas	130.08	Dallas	11.8%	15.3%		WTA	
Dallas	130.09	Dallas	30.5%	12.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	130.1	Dallas	25.6%	23.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	130.1	Garland	25.6%	23.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	130.11	Dallas	21.8%	29.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	131.01	Dallas	2.5%	3.9%		WTA	
Dallas	131.02	Dallas	1.7%	0.6%		WTA	
Dallas	131.04	Dallas	4.8%	10.5%		WTA	
Dallas	131.05	Dallas	21.5%	24.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	132	Dallas	6.7%	8.3%		WTA	
Dallas	133	Dallas	0.9%	0.3%		WTA	
Dallas	134	Dallas	1.2%	1.3%		WTA	
Dallas	135	Dallas	0.8%	1.5%		WTA	
Dallas	136.05	Dallas	4.2%	0.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	136.06	Dallas	10.5%	12.5%		WTA	
Dallas	136.07	Dallas	6.9%	8.1%		WTA	
Dallas	136.08	Dallas	1.6%	1.3%		WTA	
Dallas	136.09	Dallas	12.7%	8.1%		WTA	
Dallas	136.1	Dallas	7.8%	6.1%		WTA	
Dallas	136.11	Dallas	3.0%	7.6%		WTA	
Dallas	136.15	Dallas	19.2%	25.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.16	Addison	13.5%	10.2%		WTA	
Dallas	136.16	Dallas	13.5%	10.2%		WTA	
Dallas	136.16	Farmers Branch	13.5%	10.2%		WTA	
Dallas	136.17	Dallas	3.9%	14.8%		WTA	
Dallas	136.18	Dallas	2.7%	1.5%		WTA	
Dallas	136.19	Dallas	3.2%	3.0%		WTA	
Dallas	136.2	Dallas	15.4%	8.4%		WTA	
Dallas	136.21	Dallas	28.4%	15.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.22	Addison	15.6%	5.7%		WTA	
Dallas	136.22	Dallas	15.6%	5.7%		WTA	
Dallas	136.23	Addison	24.9%	26.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.23	Dallas	24.9%	26.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.24	Addison	22.8%	6.8%		WTA	
Dallas	136.24	Dallas	22.8%	6.8%		WTA	
Dallas	136.25	Addison	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.25	Dallas	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.25	Farmers Branch	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	136.26	Dallas	9.7%	15.1%		WTA	
Dallas	136.26	Farmers Branch	9.7%	15.1%		WTA	
Dallas	137.11	Carrollton	4.7%	18.9%		WTA	
Dallas	137.11	Farmers Branch	4.7%	18.9%		WTA	
Dallas	137.12	Carrollton	5.8%	6.5%		WTA	
Dallas	137.12	Farmers Branch	5.8%	6.5%		WTA	
Dallas	137.13	Carrollton	3.3%	41.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	137.13	Farmers Branch	3.3%	41.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	137.14	Carrollton	3.4%	14.6%		WTA	
Dallas	137.14	Farmers Branch	3.4%	14.6%		WTA	
Dallas	137.15	Carrollton	4.8%	4.7%		WTA	
Dallas	137.15	Farmers Branch	4.8%	4.7%		WTA	
Dallas	137.16	Carrollton	8.2%	12.1%		WTA	
Dallas	137.17	Carrollton	5.1%	19.6%		WTA	
Dallas	137.18	Carrollton	4.6%	22.0%		WTA	
Dallas	137.19	Carrollton	8.9%	9.4%		WTA	
Dallas	137.2	Carrollton	10.8%	12.4%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	137.21	Carrollton	8.5%	2.4%		WTA	
Dallas	137.22	Carrollton	17.5%	17.3%		WTA	
Dallas	137.22	Dallas	17.5%	17.3%		WTA	
Dallas	137.25	Addison	16.8%	16.5%		WTA	
Dallas	137.25	Carrollton	16.8%	16.5%		WTA	
Dallas	137.26	Addison	6.8%	6.7%		WTA	
Dallas	137.27	Addison	18.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Dallas	137.27	Carrollton	18.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Dallas	137.27	Dallas	18.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Dallas	138.03	Addison	4.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Dallas	138.03	Carrollton	4.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Dallas	138.03	Farmers Branch	4.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Dallas	138.04	Farmers Branch	7.0%	5.5%		WTA	
Dallas	138.05	Addison	13.2%	32.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	138.05	Farmers Branch	13.2%	32.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	138.06	Addison	14.9%	19.6%		WTA	
Dallas	138.06	Dallas	14.9%	19.6%		WTA	
Dallas	138.06	Farmers Branch	14.9%	19.6%		WTA	
Dallas	139.01	Farmers Branch	2.4%	8.7%		WTA	
Dallas	139.02	Farmers Branch	2.4%	14.0%		WTA	
Dallas	140.01	Dallas	2.8%	12.1%		WTA	
Dallas	140.01	Farmers Branch	2.8%	12.1%		WTA	
Dallas	140.02	Carrollton	4.8%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	140.02	Coppell	4.8%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	140.02	Dallas	4.8%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	140.02	Farmers Branch	4.8%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	140.02	Lewisville	4.8%	17.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.03	Irving	34.1%	32.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.13	Irving	34.9%	13.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.14	Irving	34.0%	28.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.15	Irving	16.0%	10.8%		WTA	
Dallas	141.16	Irving	32.5%	20.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.19	Coppell	3.5%	0.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.19	Dallas	3.5%	0.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.2	Coppell	2.3%	0.2%		WTA	
Dallas	141.2	Dallas	2.3%	0.2%		WTA	
Dallas	141.21	Carrollton	14.8%	7.1%		WTA	
Dallas	141.21	Coppell	14.8%	7.1%		WTA	
Dallas	141.21	Dallas	14.8%	7.1%		WTA	
Dallas	141.23	Carrollton	3.2%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.23	Coppell	3.2%	1.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	141.23	Dallas	3.2%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.23	Lewisville	3.2%	1.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.24	Coppell	4.3%	1.2%		WTA	
Dallas	141.24	Irving	4.3%	1.2%		WTA	
Dallas	141.26	Coppell	2.9%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.26	Grapevine	2.9%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.27	Coppell	11.7%	5.4%		WTA	
Dallas	141.27	Dallas	11.7%	5.4%		WTA	
Dallas	141.27	Irving	11.7%	5.4%		WTA	
Dallas	141.28	Irving	10.9%	10.0%		WTA	
Dallas	141.29	Coppell	14.8%	3.6%		WTA	
Dallas	141.29	Irving	14.8%	3.6%		WTA	
Dallas	141.3	Carrollton	14.9%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.3	Coppell	14.9%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.3	Dallas	14.9%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.3	Farmers Branch	14.9%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.3	Irving	14.9%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.31	Irving	25.0%	13.1%		WTA	
Dallas	141.32	Coppell	27.4%	12.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.32	Irving	27.4%	12.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.33	Irving	40.0%	5.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	141.34	Coppell	3.9%	0.6%		WTA	
Dallas	141.34	Lewisville	3.9%	0.6%		WTA	
Dallas	141.35	Coppell	5.2%	3.7%		WTA	
Dallas	141.36	Irving	24.3%	9.9%		WTA	
Dallas	141.37	Irving	12.5%	5.0%		WTA	
Dallas	141.38	Dallas	9.4%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	141.38	Irving	9.4%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	142.03	Irving	7.2%	10.2%		WTA	
Dallas	142.04	Irving	10.1%	46.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	142.05	Irving	4.4%	0.4%		WTA	
Dallas	142.06	Dallas	13.9%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	142.06	Irving	13.9%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	143.02	Irving	6.9%	11.5%		WTA	
Dallas	143.06	Irving	7.4%	14.8%		WTA	
Dallas	143.07	Irving	8.0%	11.0%		WTA	
Dallas	143.08	Irving	11.1%	30.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	143.09	Irving	26.7%	36.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	143.1	Irving	26.1%	15.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	143.11	Irving	11.8%	10.9%		WTA	
Dallas	143.12	Irving	14.7%	3.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	144.03	Irving	32.9%	23.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	144.05	Irving	5.7%	16.2%		WTA	
Dallas	144.06	Irving	5.4%	28.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	144.07	Irving	18.9%	22.2%		WTA	
Dallas	144.08	Irving	16.4%	14.9%		WTA	
Dallas	145.01	Irving	3.9%	15.1%		WTA	
Dallas	145.02	Irving	6.1%	19.0%		WTA	
Dallas	146.01	Irving	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Dallas	146.02	Irving	6.5%	28.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	146.03	Irving	6.6%	15.6%		WTA	
Dallas	147.01	Irving	7.6%	22.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	147.02	Irving	4.3%	30.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	147.03	Irving	4.7%	20.3%		WTA	
Dallas	149.01	Irving	5.3%	29.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	149.02	Irving	4.4%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	150	Irving	4.5%	19.0%		WTA	
Dallas	151	Irving	6.3%	16.8%		WTA	
Dallas	152.02	Irving	3.9%	27.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	152.04	Irving	5.4%	19.5%		WTA	
Dallas	152.05	Irving	7.2%	22.5%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	152.06	Irving	9.0%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	153.03	Grand Prairie	9.6%	13.4%		WTA	
Dallas	153.03	Irving	9.6%	13.4%		WTA	
Dallas	153.04	Grand Prairie	5.1%	13.4%		WTA	
Dallas	153.04	Irving	5.1%	13.4%		WTA	
Dallas	153.05	Irving	9.9%	9.8%		WTA	
Dallas	153.06	Irving	8.3%	14.3%		WTA	
Dallas	154.01	Grand Prairie	15.9%	4.3%		WTA	
Dallas	154.03	Grand Prairie	12.8%	18.2%		WTA	
Dallas	154.04	Grand Prairie	24.2%	28.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	155	Grand Prairie	8.1%	32.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	156	Grand Prairie	2.1%	19.4%		WTA	
Dallas	157	Grand Prairie	2.4%	30.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	158	Dallas	2.6%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	158	Grand Prairie	2.6%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	159	Dallas	18.1%	19.5%		WTA	
Dallas	159	Grand Prairie	18.1%	19.5%		WTA	
Dallas	160.01	Grand Prairie	6.8%	18.8%		WTA	
Dallas	160.02	Grand Prairie	9.1%	27.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	161	Grand Prairie	36.6%	25.9%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	162.01	Grand Prairie	11.9%	20.1%		WTA	
Dallas	162.02	Grand Prairie	11.2%	22.2%		WTA	
Dallas	163.01	Dallas	10.0%	11.5%		WTA	
Dallas	163.01	Grand Prairie	10.0%	11.5%		WTA	
Dallas	163.02	Grand Prairie	7.2%	15.0%		WTA	
Dallas	164.01	Grand Prairie	25.7%	8.0%		WTA	
Dallas	164.06	Grand Prairie	14.8%	26.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	164.07	Grand Prairie	14.3%	28.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	164.08	Dallas	14.3%	14.1%		WTA	
Dallas	164.08	Grand Prairie	14.3%	14.1%		WTA	
Dallas	164.09	Dallas	18.9%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	164.09	Grand Prairie	18.9%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	164.1	Grand Prairie	22.7%	10.2%		WTA	
Dallas	164.11	Grand Prairie	26.0%	7.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	164.12	Dallas	24.4%	1.3%		WTA	
Dallas	164.12	Grand Prairie	24.4%	1.3%		WTA	
Dallas	164.13	Dallas	31.1%	5.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	164.13	Grand Prairie	31.1%	5.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.02	Dallas	20.7%	19.2%		WTA	
Dallas	165.02	Duncanville	20.7%	19.2%		WTA	
Dallas	165.09	Cedar Hill	32.8%	10.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.09	Duncanville	32.8%	10.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.1	Cedar Hill	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.1	Dallas	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.1	Grand Prairie	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.11	Cedar Hill	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.11	Dallas	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.11	Duncanville	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.13	Cedar Hill	37.1%	3.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.13	Duncanville	37.1%	3.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.14	Cedar Hill	52.0%	1.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.14	Duncanville	52.0%	1.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.16	Duncanville	27.4%	15.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.17	Duncanville	33.1%	7.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.18	Duncanville	27.7%	20.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.19	Duncanville	15.2%	7.7%		WTA	
Dallas	165.2	Dallas	35.4%	19.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.2	Duncanville	35.4%	19.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.21	Dallas	43.8%	20.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.21	Duncanville	43.8%	20.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.22	Cedar Hill	23.5%	8.7%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	165.22	Dallas	23.5%	8.7%		WTA	
Dallas	165.22	Grand Prairie	23.5%	8.7%		WTA	
Dallas	165.23	Cedar Hill	26.3%	2.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	165.23	Grand Prairie	26.3%	2.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.05	Dallas	56.7%	39.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.05	Desoto	56.7%	39.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.06	Cedar Hill	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.06	Dallas	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.06	Desoto	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.06	Duncanville	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.07	Dallas	85.7%	29.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.07	Duncanville	85.7%	29.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.1	Desoto	80.1%	17.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.11	Desoto	69.4%	4.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.12	Cedar Hill	76.7%	1.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.12	Desoto	76.7%	1.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.15	Cedar Hill	63.3%	10.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.16	Cedar Hill	54.8%	11.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.16	Ovilla	54.8%	11.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.17	Cedar Hill	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.17	Desoto	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.17	Duncanville	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.18	Desoto	63.7%	16.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.19	Desoto	68.8%	14.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.2	Desoto	68.0%	10.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.21	Desoto	56.3%	18.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.21	Glenn Heights	56.3%	18.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.22	Glenn Heights	64.2%	7.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.23	Cedar Hill	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.23	Desoto	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.23	Glenn Heights	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.23	Ovilla	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.24	Cedar Hill	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.24	Desoto	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.24	Ovilla	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.25	Cedar Hill	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.25	Desoto	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.25	Duncanville	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	166.26	Cedar Hill	55.3%	18.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.01	Dallas	92.2%	19.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.01	Lancaster	92.2%	19.3%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	167.03	Dallas	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.03	Hutchins	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.03	Lancaster	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.04	Lancaster	74.6%	11.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	167.05	Lancaster	79.2%	13.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	168.02	Lancaster	46.7%	9.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	168.03	Lancaster	52.8%	8.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	168.04	Lancaster	86.8%	3.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.02	Dallas	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.02	Hutchins	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.02	Lancaster	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.03	Ferris	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.03	Hutchins	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.03	Lancaster	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	169.03	Wilmer	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	170.01	Combine	18.2%	13.6%		WTA	
Dallas	170.01	Dallas	18.2%	13.6%		WTA	
Dallas	170.01	Seagoville	18.2%	13.6%		WTA	
Dallas	170.03	Balch Springs	13.9%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	170.03	Dallas	13.9%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	170.03	Mesquite	13.9%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	170.03	Seagoville	13.9%	16.0%		WTA	
Dallas	170.04	Dallas	8.3%	19.0%		WTA	
Dallas	170.04	Seagoville	8.3%	19.0%		WTA	
Dallas	171.01	Balch Springs	17.9%	25.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	171.01	Dallas	17.9%	25.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	171.02	Balch Springs	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	171.02	Dallas	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	171.02	Seagoville	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	172.01	Balch Springs	24.8%	21.8%		WTA	
Dallas	172.01	Mesquite	24.8%	21.8%		WTA	
Dallas	172.02	Balch Springs	32.7%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	172.02	Mesquite	32.7%	26.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.01	Balch Springs	25.9%	17.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.03	Mesquite	20.1%	11.8%		WTA	
Dallas	173.04	Mesquite	25.9%	3.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.04	Sunnyvale	25.9%	3.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.05	Mesquite	38.5%	5.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.05	Sunnyvale	38.5%	5.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.06	Balch Springs	31.0%	15.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	173.06	Mesquite	31.0%	15.0%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	174	Mesquite	16.1%	9.3%		WTA	
Dallas	175	Mesquite	21.2%	4.2%		WTA	
Dallas	176.02	Mesquite	21.4%	8.0%		WTA	
Dallas	176.04	Balch Springs	16.6%	13.3%		WTA	
Dallas	176.04	Mesquite	16.6%	13.3%		WTA	
Dallas	176.05	Dallas	40.6%	33.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	176.06	Balch Springs	26.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	176.06	Mesquite	26.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	177.02	Mesquite	25.0%	12.9%		WTA	
Dallas	177.03	Mesquite	25.3%	28.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	177.04	Mesquite	19.1%	15.4%		WTA	
Dallas	178.04	Mesquite	17.7%	13.5%		WTA	
Dallas	178.05	Dallas	28.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	178.05	Mesquite	28.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	178.06	Dallas	17.8%	6.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.06	Mesquite	17.8%	6.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.07	Mesquite	19.7%	10.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.08	Dallas	17.4%	5.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.08	Garland	17.4%	5.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.08	Mesquite	17.4%	5.3%		WTA	
Dallas	178.11	Mesquite	12.4%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	178.11	Sunnyvale	12.4%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	178.12	Mesquite	15.7%	4.7%		WTA	
Dallas	178.13	Mesquite	28.8%	14.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	178.14	Mesquite	14.4%	2.7%		WTA	
Dallas	178.14	Sunnyvale	14.4%	2.7%		WTA	
Dallas	179	Dallas	12.3%	20.2%		WTA	
Dallas	179	Mesquite	12.3%	20.2%		WTA	
Dallas	180.01	Dallas	14.0%	12.3%		WTA	
Dallas	180.01	Mesquite	14.0%	12.3%		WTA	
Dallas	180.02	Mesquite	12.5%	21.4%		WTA	
Dallas	181.04	Dallas	13.0%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.04	Mesquite	13.0%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.04	Sunnyvale	13.0%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.05	Garland	12.7%	22.4%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	181.1	Dallas	8.4%	3.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.1	Garland	8.4%	3.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.11	Garland	27.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.18	Dallas	25.8%	9.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.18	Garland	25.8%	9.2%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	181.2	Garland	19.8%	4.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.21	Garland	17.8%	9.4%		WTA	
Dallas	181.22	Garland	8.6%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	181.22	Rowlett	8.6%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	181.22	Sachse	8.6%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	181.22	Wylie	8.6%	7.0%		WTA	
Dallas	181.23	Garland	15.9%	5.7%		WTA	
Dallas	181.23	Sachse	15.9%	5.7%		WTA	
Dallas	181.24	Dallas	17.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.24	Garland	17.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.24	Rowlett	17.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.24	Sachse	17.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.26	Dallas	19.3%	7.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.26	Garland	19.3%	7.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.27	Dallas	9.9%	7.7%		WTA	
Dallas	181.27	Garland	9.9%	7.7%		WTA	
Dallas	181.28	Garland	27.8%	16.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.29	Dallas	15.1%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.29	Garland	15.1%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.29	Mesquite	15.1%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.3	Dallas	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.3	Garland	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.3	Mesquite	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.32	Dallas	22.5%	7.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.32	Garland	22.5%	7.3%		WTA	
Dallas	181.33	Dallas	8.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.33	Rowlett	8.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.34	Rowlett	15.6%	3.8%		WTA	
Dallas	181.35	Dallas	17.2%	10.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.35	Rowlett	17.2%	10.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.36	Dallas	9.3%	1.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.36	Rowlett	9.3%	1.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.37	Dallas	24.3%	1.4%		WTA	
Dallas	181.37	Garland	24.3%	1.4%		WTA	
Dallas	181.38	Garland	32.0%	13.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	181.39	Garland	14.0%	0.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.39	Rowlett	14.0%	0.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.39	Sachse	14.0%	0.1%		WTA	
Dallas	181.4	Dallas	18.3%	9.0%		WTA	
Dallas	181.4	Rowlett	18.3%	9.0%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	181.41	Dallas	21.4%	24.7%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	181.41	Garland	21.4%	24.7%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	181.42	Dallas	24.9%	3.9%		WTA	
Dallas	181.42	Garland	24.9%	3.9%		WTA	
Dallas	182.03	Garland	26.5%	16.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	182.04	Garland	18.3%	35.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	182.05	Garland	12.9%	26.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	182.06	Garland	7.5%	19.3%		WTA	
Dallas	183	Garland	6.4%	16.3%		WTA	
Dallas	184.01	Garland	10.8%	15.0%		WTA	
Dallas	184.02	Garland	7.8%	26.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	184.03	Garland	29.6%	20.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	185.01	Garland	8.8%	20.5%		WTA	
Dallas	185.03	Dallas	59.6%	22.6%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	185.05	Dallas	54.5%	35.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	185.06	Dallas	44.4%	26.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	185.06	Garland	44.4%	26.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	186	Garland	7.1%	9.2%		WTA	
Dallas	187	Garland	6.3%	19.5%		WTA	
Dallas	188.01	Garland	8.9%	5.8%		WTA	
Dallas	188.02	Garland	11.7%	37.5%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	189	Garland	12.2%	16.3%		WTA	
Dallas	190.04	Garland	14.9%	11.4%		WTA	
Dallas	190.13	Garland	10.4%	39.8%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.14	Garland	13.7%	11.8%		WTA	
Dallas	190.16	Dallas	29.0%	11.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.18	Dallas	36.0%	12.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.19	Dallas	41.6%	29.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.2	Garland	12.6%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.21	Garland	15.0%	14.0%		WTA	
Dallas	190.23	Richardson	9.8%	2.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.24	Garland	17.3%	7.2%		WTA	
Dallas	190.24	Richardson	17.3%	7.2%		WTA	
Dallas	190.25	Garland	16.7%	4.4%		WTA	
Dallas	190.26	Garland	11.1%	11.7%		WTA	
Dallas	190.27	Garland	20.3%	13.1%		WTA	
Dallas	190.28	Garland	18.0%	11.4%		WTA	
Dallas	190.29	Garland	20.3%	10.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.31	Garland	10.7%	1.7%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	190.31	Richardson	10.7%	1.7%		WTA	
Dallas	190.32	Garland	7.4%	27.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.33	Garland	7.7%	33.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.34	Dallas	44.4%	20.4%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.35	Dallas	29.8%	20.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	190.36	Richardson	3.8%	8.7%		WTA	
Dallas	190.37	Richardson	10.0%	7.9%		WTA	
Dallas	190.38	Richardson	11.1%	13.0%		WTA	
Dallas	190.39	Garland	12.6%	13.8%		WTA	
Dallas	190.39	Richardson	12.6%	13.8%		WTA	
Dallas	190.4	Dallas	23.5%	5.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.4	Richardson	23.5%	5.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.41	Dallas	17.4%	4.6%		WTA	
Dallas	190.41	Richardson	17.4%	4.6%		WTA	
Dallas	190.42	Garland	13.6%	4.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.42	Richardson	13.6%	4.5%		WTA	
Dallas	190.43	Garland	6.2%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	190.43	Richardson	6.2%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	190.43	Sachse	6.2%	2.9%		WTA	
Dallas	191	Richardson	9.6%	7.2%		WTA	
Dallas	192.02	Richardson	11.6%	21.2%		WTA	
Dallas	192.03	Richardson	3.3%	6.0%		WTA	
Dallas	192.04	Richardson	9.8%	16.6%		WTA	
Dallas	192.05	Dallas	1.6%	1.0%		WTA	
Dallas	192.05	Richardson	1.6%	1.0%		WTA	
Dallas	192.06	Richardson	4.4%	36.4%		Not Eligible	Agreed upon by parties
Dallas	192.08	Dallas	14.5%	29.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	192.1	Richardson	0.7%	3.9%		WTA	
Dallas	192.11	Richardson	8.4%	10.1%		WTA	
Dallas	192.12	Dallas	5.6%	52.7%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	192.13	Dallas	20.3%	51.1%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	193.01	University Park	0.2%	0.5%		WTA	
Dallas	193.02	Dallas	3.5%	13.3%		WTA	
Dallas	193.02	Highland Park	3.5%	13.3%		WTA	
Dallas	193.02	University Park	3.5%	13.3%		WTA	
Dallas	194	Highland Park	0.6%	18.1%		WTA	
Dallas	194	University Park	0.6%	18.1%		WTA	
Dallas	195.01	University Park	0.2%	0.3%		WTA	
Dallas	195.02	Dallas	1.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Dallas	195.02	Highland Park	1.1%	3.6%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Dallas	195.02	University Park	1.1%	3.6%		WTA	
Dallas	196	Highland Park	0.8%	4.1%		WTA	
Dallas	197	Highland Park	0.4%	0.6%		WTA	
Dallas	198	Dallas	0.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Dallas	198	Highland Park	0.5%	3.0%		WTA	
Dallas	199	Cockrell Hill	1.7%	32.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	199	Dallas	1.7%	32.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	200	Coppell	4.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	200	Flower Mound	4.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	200	Grapevine	4.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	200	Lewisville	4.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Dallas	201	Dallas	4.1%	26.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	201	Irving	4.1%	26.3%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	202	Dallas	91.4%	41.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	202	Hutchins	91.4%	41.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	203	Dallas	88.2%	51.9%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	204	Dallas	30.3%	30.0%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	205	Dallas	59.9%	60.2%		Not Eligible	
Dallas	206	Dallas	1.1%	0.4%		WTA	
Dallas	207	Addison	8.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	207	Carrollton	8.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Dallas	207	Denton	8.2%	2.3%		WTA	
Denton	201.03	Aubrey	2.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.03	Cross Roads	2.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.03	Denton	2.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.03	Krugerville	2.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.03	Pilot Point	2.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.04	Aubrey	5.2%	19.9%		WTA	
Denton	201.04	Celina	5.2%	19.9%		WTA	
Denton	201.04	Frisco	5.2%	19.9%		WTA	
Denton	201.04	Pilot Point	5.2%	19.9%		WTA	
Denton	201.04	Prosper	5.2%	19.9%		WTA	
Denton	201.05	Little Elm	12.7%	7.6%		WTA	
Denton	201.05	Prosper	12.7%	7.6%		WTA	
Denton	201.06	Cross Roads	5.4%	2.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.06	Denton	5.4%	2.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.06	Lincoln Park	5.4%	2.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.06	Little Elm	5.4%	2.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.07	Cross Roads	9.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Denton	201.07	Denton	9.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Denton	201.07	Lincoln Park	9.6%	4.1%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	201.07	Little Elm	9.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Denton	201.07	Oak Point	9.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Denton	201.08	Frisco	19.3%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	201.08	Little Elm	19.3%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	201.09	Frisco	12.6%	8.4%		WTA	
Denton	201.1	Frisco	13.8%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.11	Frisco	7.2%	0.7%		WTA	
Denton	201.12	Little Elm	15.0%	4.6%		WTA	
Denton	201.13	Little Elm	17.2%	4.8%		WTA	
Denton	201.14	Little Elm	13.5%	7.7%		WTA	
Denton	201.15	Lakewood Village	2.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Denton	201.15	Little Elm	2.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Denton	201.15	Oak Point	2.6%	8.3%		WTA	
Denton	202.02	Denton	1.3%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	202.02	Krum	1.3%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	202.02	Sanger	1.3%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	202.03	Sanger	3.7%	18.4%		WTA	
Denton	202.04	Sanger	3.1%	5.7%		WTA	
Denton	202.05	Denton	1.4%	1.2%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Argyle	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Bartonville	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Denton	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Double Oak	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Flower Mound	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.03	Northlake	1.7%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	203.05	Flower Mound	2.0%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.05	Southlake	2.0%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.05	Trophy Club	2.0%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.05	Westlake	2.0%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.06	Fort Worth	5.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	203.06	Northlake	5.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	203.06	Roanoke	5.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	203.06	Westlake	5.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	203.07	Flower Mound	3.2%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.07	Northlake	3.2%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.07	Roanoke	3.2%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.07	Trophy Club	3.2%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.07	Westlake	3.2%	0.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.08	Fort Worth	7.0%	5.4%		WTA	
Denton	203.08	Haslet	7.0%	5.4%		WTA	
Denton	203.08	Justin	7.0%	5.4%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	203.08	Northlake	7.0%	5.4%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Argyle	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Corral City	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	DISH	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Flower Mound	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Justin	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Northlake	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.09	Ponder	2.0%	6.5%		WTA	
Denton	203.1	Denton	0.8%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	203.1	Ponder	0.8%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	204.01	Denton	9.1%	15.0%		WTA	
Denton	204.01	Krum	9.1%	15.0%		WTA	
Denton	204.02	Denton	5.4%	11.2%		WTA	
Denton	204.03	Denton	4.1%	16.4%		WTA	
Denton	205.03	Denton	9.5%	9.5%		WTA	
Denton	205.04	Denton	10.1%	15.2%		WTA	
Denton	205.05	Denton	4.2%	5.0%		WTA	
Denton	205.06	Denton	1.0%	13.3%		WTA	
Denton	206.01	Denton	19.5%	34.9%		Not Eligible	
Denton	206.02	Denton	12.0%	20.6%		WTA	
Denton	207	Carrollton	7.2%	48.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	207	Denton	7.2%	48.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	208	Denton	12.8%	38.0%		Not Eligible	
Denton	209	Denton	16.5%	44.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	210	Denton	9.6%	40.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	211	Denton	10.0%	41.1%		Not Eligible	
Denton	212.01	Denton	25.1%	28.3%		Not Eligible	
Denton	212.02	Denton	30.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible	
Denton	213.01	Denton	18.7%	31.8%		Not Eligible	
Denton	213.03	Corinth	7.8%	15.2%		WTA	
Denton	213.03	Denton	7.8%	15.2%		WTA	
Denton	213.04	Denton	9.5%	20.5%		WTA	
Denton	213.05	Denton	6.1%	11.0%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Corinth	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Denton	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Hickory Creek	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Lake Dallas	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Lewisville	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.03	Shady Shores	3.7%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	214.04	Corinth	5.7%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	214.04	Denton	5.7%	1.8%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	214.04	Lake Dallas	5.7%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	214.04	Shady Shores	5.7%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	214.05	Corinth	6.7%	18.6%		WTA	
Denton	214.05	Denton	6.7%	18.6%		WTA	
Denton	214.05	Shady Shores	6.7%	18.6%		WTA	
Denton	214.06	Denton	9.6%	3.5%		WTA	
Denton	214.07	Corinth	10.2%	11.5%		WTA	
Denton	214.07	Denton	10.2%	11.5%		WTA	
Denton	214.08	Corinth	7.5%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	214.09	Corinth	6.8%	5.9%		WTA	
Denton	214.09	Denton	6.8%	5.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.02	Lewisville	5.4%	18.7%		WTA	
Denton	215.05	Flower Mound	10.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.05	Highland Village	10.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.05	Lewisville	10.4%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.12	Copper Canyon	3.9%	0.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.12	Denton	3.9%	0.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.12	Highland Village	3.9%	0.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.13	Highland Village	1.4%	1.7%		WTA	
Denton	215.13	Lewisville	1.4%	1.7%		WTA	
Denton	215.14	Highland Village	1.5%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.15	Frisco	7.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.15	Hackberry	7.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.15	Little Elm	7.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.15	The Colony	7.6%	8.9%		WTA	
Denton	215.16	The Colony	7.3%	4.1%		WTA	
Denton	215.17	Lewisville	11.5%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	215.17	The Colony	11.5%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	215.18	Lewisville	8.4%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.18	The Colony	8.4%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.19	Frisco	11.6%	3.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.19	The Colony	11.6%	3.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.2	The Colony	7.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	215.21	Frisco	7.8%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	215.21	The Colony	7.8%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	215.22	Frisco	10.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.22	The Colony	10.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.23	Frisco	9.0%	15.2%		WTA	
Denton	215.23	The Colony	9.0%	15.2%		WTA	
Denton	215.24	Frisco	5.6%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	215.24	The Colony	5.6%	0.0%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	215.25	Frisco	8.5%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	215.26	Frisco	7.2%	0.3%		WTA	
Denton	215.27	Frisco	5.4%	3.5%		WTA	
Denton	215.27	Little Elm	5.4%	3.5%		WTA	
Denton	216.11	Carrollton	10.1%	6.1%		WTA	
Denton	216.12	Carrollton	6.3%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.13	Carrollton	16.7%	15.9%		WTA	
Denton	216.14	Carrollton	8.5%	11.3%		WTA	
Denton	216.15	Carrollton	7.5%	6.8%		WTA	
Denton	216.15	Dallas	7.5%	6.8%		WTA	
Denton	216.16	Carrollton	26.2%	11.7%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.16	Dallas	26.2%	11.7%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.18	Lewisville	7.3%	13.7%		WTA	
Denton	216.19	Lewisville	11.2%	20.3%		WTA	
Denton	216.2	Carrollton	33.7%	9.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.2	Lewisville	33.7%	9.5%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.21	Carrollton	5.4%	2.0%		WTA	
Denton	216.22	Carrollton	4.9%	8.3%		WTA	
Denton	216.22	Hebron	4.9%	8.3%		WTA	
Denton	216.23	Carrollton	10.1%	6.2%		WTA	
Denton	216.23	Hebron	10.1%	6.2%		WTA	
Denton	216.23	Lewisville	10.1%	6.2%		WTA	
Denton	216.24	Carrollton	12.3%	6.6%		WTA	
Denton	216.24	Hebron	12.3%	6.6%		WTA	
Denton	216.24	Lewisville	12.3%	6.6%		WTA	
Denton	216.25	Hebron	6.3%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.25	Lewisville	6.3%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.25	Plano	6.3%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.25	The Colony	6.3%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.26	Frisco	3.4%	4.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.26	Plano	3.4%	4.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.26	The Colony	3.4%	4.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.27	Hebron	7.5%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.27	Plano	7.5%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.27	The Colony	7.5%	3.4%		WTA	
Denton	216.28	Carrollton	9.5%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	216.28	Hebron	9.5%	5.6%		WTA	
Denton	216.29	Carrollton	5.5%	0.7%		WTA	
Denton	216.29	Hebron	5.5%	0.7%		WTA	
Denton	216.29	Lewisville	5.5%	0.7%		WTA	
Denton	216.3	Carrollton	20.8%	2.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	216.3	Hebron	20.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Denton	216.31	Carrollton	7.2%	4.5%		WTA	
Denton	216.32	Carrollton	10.5%	5.0%		WTA	
Denton	216.32	Dallas	10.5%	5.0%		WTA	
Denton	216.32	Hebron	10.5%	5.0%		WTA	
Denton	216.33	Carrollton	5.1%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	216.34	Dallas	33.8%	13.3%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.35	Dallas	33.0%	9.4%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.36	Dallas	26.6%	12.2%		Not Eligible	
Denton	216.37	Carrollton	25.3%	12.9%		WTA	
Denton	216.37	Dallas	25.3%	12.9%		WTA	
Denton	216.38	Carrollton	24.0%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	216.38	Dallas	24.0%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.15	Lewisville	10.7%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.16	Lewisville	15.1%	11.4%		WTA	
Denton	217.17	Flower Mound	7.3%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.17	Lewisville	7.3%	3.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.18	Bartonville	4.8%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	217.18	Copper Canyon	4.8%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	217.18	Denton	4.8%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	217.19	Copper Canyon	4.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.19	Flower Mound	4.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.19	Highland Village	4.8%	4.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.2	Flower Mound	6.1%	0.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.21	Flower Mound	4.1%	1.9%		WTA	
Denton	217.22	Flower Mound	6.0%	7.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.23	Flower Mound	13.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Denton	217.23	Lewisville	13.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Denton	217.24	Flower Mound	3.1%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.25	Flower Mound	2.8%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	217.26	Flower Mound	4.0%	2.5%		WTA	
Denton	217.27	Flower Mound	4.4%	0.4%		WTA	
Denton	217.28	Flower Mound	2.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.28	Grapevine	2.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.28	Lewisville	2.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.29	Flower Mound	5.7%	1.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.3	Flower Mound	7.4%	2.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.3	Lewisville	7.4%	2.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.31	Lewisville	9.5%	0.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.32	Lewisville	22.9%	1.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.33	Lewisville	17.2%	8.3%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Denton	217.34	Lewisville	20.0%	9.4%		WTA	
Denton	217.35	Lewisville	25.4%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.36	Lewisville	14.5%	19.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.37	Lewisville	14.2%	2.6%		WTA	
Denton	217.38	Carrollton	17.6%	5.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.38	Lewisville	17.6%	5.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.39	Lewisville	18.1%	25.3%		Not Eligible	
Denton	217.4	Lewisville	15.8%	7.3%		WTA	
Denton	217.41	Lewisville	14.3%	5.2%		WTA	
Denton	217.42	Flower Mound	9.0%	3.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.42	Lewisville	9.0%	3.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.43	Lewisville	10.9%	9.5%		WTA	
Denton	217.44	Lewisville	8.3%	5.8%		WTA	
Denton	217.45	Bartonville	7.3%	24.1%		Not Eligible	
Denton	217.46	Bartonville	2.5%	6.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.46	Copper Canyon	2.5%	6.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.46	Double Oak	2.5%	6.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.46	Flower Mound	2.5%	6.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.47	Flower Mound	4.3%	5.0%		WTA	
Denton	217.48	Flower Mound	2.5%	1.3%		WTA	
Denton	217.49	Double Oak	2.2%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.49	Flower Mound	2.2%	3.1%		WTA	
Denton	217.5	Flower Mound	3.2%	4.4%		WTA	
Denton	217.51	Flower Mound	2.9%	1.7%		WTA	
Denton	217.52	Flower Mound	3.6%	1.5%		WTA	
Denton	217.53	Flower Mound	3.2%	2.5%		WTA	
Denton	218	Frisco	6.3%	2.6%		WTA	
Denton	219	Frisco	5.7%	2.9%		WTA	
Ellis	601.01	Ferris	9.9%	18.9%		WTA	
Ellis	601.01	Red Oak	9.9%	18.9%		WTA	
Ellis	601.02	Bristol	3.7%	10.0%		WTA	
Ellis	601.02	Palmer	3.7%	10.0%		WTA	
Ellis	601.02	Red Oak	3.7%	10.0%		WTA	
Ellis	602.04	Glenn Heights	19.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.04	Oak Leaf	19.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.04	Ovilla	19.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.04	Red Oak	19.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.04	Waxahachie	19.6%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.06	Red Oak	13.4%	13.6%		WTA	
Ellis	602.07	Red Oak	10.4%	5.4%		WTA	
Ellis	602.08	Waxahachie	6.4%	4.5%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Ellis	602.09	Glenn Heights	11.4%	6.8%		WTA	
Ellis	602.09	Oak Leaf	11.4%	6.8%		WTA	
Ellis	602.09	Ovilla	11.4%	6.8%		WTA	
Ellis	602.09	Waxahachie	11.4%	6.8%		WTA	
Ellis	602.1	Waxahachie	2.0%	6.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.11	Midlothian	3.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Ellis	602.11	Waxahachie	3.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Ellis	602.12	Waxahachie	6.4%	5.4%		WTA	
Ellis	602.13	Pecan Hill	4.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Ellis	602.13	Red Oak	4.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Ellis	602.13	Waxahachie	4.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Ellis	602.14	Midlothian	4.7%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	602.14	Ovilla	4.7%	3.1%		WTA	
Ellis	603	Waxahachie	7.9%	16.5%		WTA	
Ellis	604	Waxahachie	43.8%	33.6%		Not Eligible	
Ellis	605	Waxahachie	10.6%	26.5%		Not Eligible	
Ellis	606	Waxahachie	10.1%	15.8%		WTA	
Ellis	607.01	Grand Prairie	6.8%	14.5%		WTA	
Ellis	607.01	Mansfield	6.8%	14.5%		WTA	
Ellis	607.01	Midlothian	6.8%	14.5%		WTA	
Ellis	607.02	Midlothian	4.2%	9.1%		WTA	
Ellis	607.02	Ovilla	4.2%	9.1%		WTA	
Ellis	607.03	Grand Prairie	10.6%	4.3%		WTA	
Ellis	607.03	Mansfield	10.6%	4.3%		WTA	
Ellis	607.03	Midlothian	10.6%	4.3%		WTA	
Ellis	608.01	Midlothian	2.2%	1.0%		WTA	
Ellis	608.02	Midlothian	2.9%	5.8%		WTA	
Ellis	608.02	Venus	2.9%	5.8%		WTA	
Ellis	608.03	Grand Prairie	3.5%	7.6%		WTA	
Ellis	608.03	Mansfield	3.5%	7.6%		WTA	
Ellis	608.03	Midlothian	3.5%	7.6%		WTA	
Ellis	608.03	Venus	3.5%	7.6%		WTA	
Ellis	609	Maypearl	3.1%	2.1%		WTA	
Ellis	609	Midlothian	3.1%	2.1%		WTA	
Ellis	609	Venus	3.1%	2.1%		WTA	
Ellis	609	Waxahachie	3.1%	2.1%		WTA	
Ellis	610	Italy	17.1%	16.1%		WTA	
Ellis	610	Milford	17.1%	16.1%		WTA	
Ellis	611	Ennis	4.1%	7.2%		WTA	
Ellis	611	Waxahachie	4.1%	7.2%		WTA	
Ellis	612	Bardwell	7.8%	16.1%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Ellis	613	Ennis	3.0%	5.0%		WTA	
Ellis	613	Garrett	3.0%	5.0%		WTA	
Ellis	613	Palmer	3.0%	5.0%		WTA	
Ellis	613	Waxahachie	3.0%	5.0%		WTA	
Ellis	614	Ennis	4.7%	14.2%		WTA	
Ellis	614	Garrett	4.7%	14.2%		WTA	
Ellis	615	Ennis	17.5%	16.4%		WTA	
Ellis	616	Ennis	23.6%	30.7%		Not Eligible	
Ellis	616	Garrett	23.6%	30.7%		Not Eligible	
Ellis	617	Alma	2.7%	21.1%		WTA	
Ellis	617	Ennis	2.7%	21.1%		WTA	
Ellis	617	Garrett	2.7%	21.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.01	Dallas	8.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.01	Forney	8.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.01	Heath	8.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.01	Mesquite	8.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.01	Travis Ranch	8.6%	3.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.03	Crandall	16.1%	4.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.03	Forney	16.1%	4.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.03	Talty	16.1%	4.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.04	Forney	17.7%	2.8%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.05	Forney	11.2%	4.4%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.05	Travis Ranch	11.2%	4.4%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.06	Forney	8.9%	5.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	502.06	Mesquite	8.9%	5.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	503	Terrell	13.4%	12.6%		WTA	
Kaufman	504	Terrell	13.0%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Kaufman	505	Terrell	63.3%	28.7%		Not Eligible	
Kaufman	506	Elmo	4.8%	12.8%		WTA	
Kaufman	506	Terrell	4.8%	12.8%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.01	Crandall	5.7%	7.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.01	Kaufman	5.7%	7.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.01	Oak Ridge	5.7%	7.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.01	Post Oak Bend	5.7%	7.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.01	Terrell	5.7%	7.1%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.03		2.8%	16.9%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.04	Oak Ridge	6.4%	10.7%		WTA	
Kaufman	507.04	Terrell	6.4%	10.7%		WTA	
Kaufman	508	Combine	3.7%	5.3%		WTA	
Kaufman	508	Crandall	3.7%	5.3%		WTA	
Kaufman	508	Kaufman	3.7%	5.3%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Kaufman	508	Rosser	3.7%	5.3%		WTA	
Kaufman	508	Scurry	3.7%	5.3%		WTA	
Kaufman	510	Kaufman	16.6%	32.0%		Not Eligible	
Kaufman	511	Kaufman	8.2%	18.2%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.01	Kaufman	4.4%	15.2%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.01	Kemp	4.4%	15.2%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.01	Oak Grove	4.4%	15.2%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Cottonwood	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Grays Prairie	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Kaufman	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Kemp	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Oak Grove	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Rosser	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	512.02	Scurry	4.2%	9.5%		WTA	
Kaufman	513	Kemp	3.8%	16.4%		WTA	
Kaufman	513	Mabank	3.8%	16.4%		WTA	
Kaufman	513	Seven Points	3.8%	16.4%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.01	Dallas	3.8%	6.1%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.01	Rockwall	3.8%	6.1%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.02	Dallas	6.7%	3.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.02	Garland	6.7%	3.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.02	Rockwall	6.7%	3.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.02	Rowlett	6.7%	3.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	401.02	Wylie	6.7%	3.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	402	Dallas	2.7%	0.6%		WTA	
Rockwall	402	Rockwall	2.7%	0.6%		WTA	
Rockwall	403.01	Dallas	8.7%	6.9%		WTA	
Rockwall	403.01	Rowlett	8.7%	6.9%		WTA	
Rockwall	403.02	Dallas	7.2%	6.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	403.02	Rockwall	7.2%	6.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.01	Fate	7.6%	5.4%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.01	Rockwall	7.6%	5.4%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.01	Royse City	7.6%	5.4%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.02	Fate	7.4%	8.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.02	Mobile City	7.4%	8.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.02	Rockwall	7.4%	8.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	404.02	Royse City	7.4%	8.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.03	Rockwall	8.2%	9.4%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.04	Dallas	2.0%	3.6%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.04	Heath	2.0%	3.6%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.04	Rockwall	2.0%	3.6%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Rockwall	405.05	Rockwall	9.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.06	Heath	2.3%	5.2%		WTA	
Rockwall	405.06	Rockwall	2.3%	5.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1001.01	Fort Worth	2.0%	14.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1001.02	Fort Worth	2.5%	19.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1002.01	Fort Worth	4.4%	17.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1002.02	Fort Worth	1.6%	27.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1003	Fort Worth	11.2%	28.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1004	Fort Worth	1.8%	21.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1005.01	Fort Worth	11.2%	30.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1005.01	Lake Worth	11.2%	30.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1005.02	Fort Worth	2.7%	35.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1005.02	River Oaks	2.7%	35.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1006.01	Azle	1.8%	15.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1006.01	Fort Worth	1.8%	15.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1006.01	Lake Worth	1.8%	15.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1006.02	Fort Worth	13.6%	7.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1006.02	Westworth Village	13.6%	7.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1006.02	White Settlement	13.6%	7.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1007	Fort Worth	2.3%	21.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1007	River Oaks	2.3%	21.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1008	Fort Worth	1.8%	24.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1009	Fort Worth	1.3%	31.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1012.01	Fort Worth	6.9%	12.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1012.01	Haltom City	6.9%	12.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1012.01	Richland Hills	6.9%	12.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1012.02	Fort Worth	6.6%	16.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1013.01	Fort Worth	31.2%	13.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1013.02	Arlington	38.6%	10.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1013.02	Fort Worth	38.6%	10.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1014.01	Fort Worth	27.0%	14.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1014.02	Fort Worth	19.5%	26.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1014.03	Fort Worth	31.6%	30.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1015	Fort Worth	14.5%	17.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1017	Fort Worth	58.9%	82.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1020	Fort Worth	2.9%	24.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1021	Fort Worth	7.5%	20.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1022.01	Fort Worth	2.5%	8.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1022.02	Fort Worth	1.5%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1023.01	Fort Worth	13.9%	41.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1023.02	Benbrook	5.8%	20.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1023.02	Fort Worth	5.8%	20.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1024.01	Fort Worth	16.1%	19.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1024.02	Fort Worth	3.4%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1025	Fort Worth	71.3%	39.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1026.01	Fort Worth	16.5%	12.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1026.02	Fort Worth	3.7%	17.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1027	Fort Worth	1.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1028	Fort Worth	2.9%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1035	Fort Worth	16.7%	32.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1036.01	Fort Worth	74.8%	50.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1036.02	Fort Worth	77.9%	32.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1037.01	Fort Worth	20.0%	28.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1037.02	Fort Worth	44.4%	22.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1038	Fort Worth	62.3%	54.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1041	Fort Worth	6.0%	22.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1042.01	Fort Worth	4.1%	14.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1042.02	Fort Worth	3.3%	18.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1043	Fort Worth	2.7%	24.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1044	Fort Worth	4.1%	28.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1045.02	Fort Worth	15.1%	29.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1045.03	Fort Worth	1.6%	31.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1045.04	Fort Worth	4.7%	52.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1045.05	Fort Worth	62.6%	34.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1046.01	Fort Worth	45.7%	34.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1046.02	Fort Worth	27.7%	20.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1046.03	Fort Worth	14.5%	34.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1046.04	Fort Worth	56.4%	34.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1046.05	Fort Worth	57.8%	25.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1047.01	Fort Worth	1.6%	29.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1047.02	Fort Worth	3.2%	39.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1048.02	Fort Worth	9.1%	30.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1048.03	Fort Worth	2.3%	40.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1048.04	Fort Worth	2.1%	41.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1049	Fort Worth	3.1%	14.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1050.01	Fort Worth	4.3%	23.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1050.01	Saginaw	4.3%	23.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1050.06	Fort Worth	8.2%	67.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1050.06	Saginaw	8.2%	67.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1050.07	Fort Worth	11.5%	3.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1050.08	Blue Mound	14.1%	9.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1050.08	Fort Worth	14.1%	9.7%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1050.08	Saginaw	14.1%	9.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1052.01	Fort Worth	21.5%	21.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1052.03	Fort Worth	7.4%	4.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1052.04	Fort Worth	23.1%	17.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1052.05	Fort Worth	31.5%	28.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1054.03	Fort Worth	3.7%	16.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1054.04	Fort Worth	1.5%	4.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1054.05	Benbrook	15.8%	20.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1054.05	Fort Worth	15.8%	20.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1054.06	Benbrook	7.3%	11.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1054.06	Fort Worth	7.3%	11.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.02	Fort Worth	13.5%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.03	Fort Worth	15.8%	6.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.05	Fort Worth	14.9%	10.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.07	Benbrook	7.6%	3.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.07	Fort Worth	7.6%	3.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.08	Fort Worth	23.4%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.1	Fort Worth	36.3%	13.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1055.11	Fort Worth	36.3%	16.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1055.12	Fort Worth	21.0%	7.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1055.13	Fort Worth	33.9%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1055.14	Fort Worth	29.2%	15.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1056	Fort Worth	4.0%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1057.01	Fort Worth	12.2%	10.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1057.03	Fort Worth	23.9%	17.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1057.04	Fort Worth	38.2%	13.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1058	Fort Worth	6.9%	29.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1059.01	Fort Worth	6.2%	37.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1059.02	Forest Hill	28.4%	40.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1059.02	Fort Worth	28.4%	40.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.01	Fort Worth	35.4%	12.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.02	Forest Hill	61.7%	29.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.02	Fort Worth	61.7%	29.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.04	Everman	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.04	Forest Hill	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.04	Fort Worth	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1060.04	Kennedale	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1061.01	Fort Worth	53.5%	20.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1061.02	Fort Worth	33.9%	42.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1062.01	Fort Worth	79.8%	29.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1062.02	Fort Worth	79.7%	34.2%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1063	Fort Worth	87.0%	31.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1064	Arlington	18.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1064	Fort Worth	18.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.02	Fort Worth	40.1%	9.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.03	Fort Worth	56.4%	27.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.07	Fort Worth	23.4%	6.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1065.09	Arlington	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.09	Fort Worth	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.09	Hurst	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.1	Fort Worth	15.4%	1.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1065.11	Fort Worth	42.7%	15.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.12	Fort Worth	59.1%	25.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.13	Fort Worth	59.5%	14.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.14	Arlington	52.5%	16.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.14	Fort Worth	52.5%	16.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.15	Fort Worth	47.7%	20.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.16	Fort Worth	59.0%	27.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.17	Eules	33.8%	13.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.17	Fort Worth	33.8%	13.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1065.18	Fort Worth	36.7%	7.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1066	Fort Worth	11.9%	31.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1066	Lake Worth	11.9%	31.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1067	Fort Worth	3.9%	6.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1101.01	Haltom City	6.5%	19.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1101.02	Haltom City	3.4%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.02	Fort Worth	2.0%	15.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.02	Haltom City	2.0%	15.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.03	Fort Worth	9.0%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.03	Haltom City	9.0%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.03	Watauga	9.0%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.04	Fort Worth	3.7%	9.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1102.04	Haltom City	3.7%	9.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1103.01	Haltom City	2.1%	20.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1103.01	Richland Hills	2.1%	20.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1103.02	Haltom City	2.5%	37.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1104.01	Fort Worth	2.2%	10.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1104.01	Lake Worth	2.2%	10.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1104.02	Lake Worth	1.8%	29.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1104.02	Sansom Park	1.8%	29.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1105	Fort Worth	1.4%	24.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1105	River Oaks	1.4%	24.4%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1106	Westworth Villa	6.9%	9.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1106	White Settlement	6.9%	9.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1107.01	Fort Worth	4.4%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1107.01	White Settlement	4.4%	23.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1107.03	Fort Worth	5.9%	20.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1107.03	White Settlement	5.9%	20.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1107.04	Fort Worth	7.0%	13.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1107.04	White Settlement	7.0%	13.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.05	Fort Worth	9.1%	1.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.06	Fort Worth	6.8%	1.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.07	Fort Worth	10.5%	5.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.08	Benbrook	2.3%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.08	Fort Worth	2.3%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.09	Benbrook	3.9%	0.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1108.09	Fort Worth	3.9%	0.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.01	Benbrook	3.3%	2.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.01	Fort Worth	3.3%	2.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.03	Benbrook	5.7%	13.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.03	Fort Worth	5.7%	13.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.05	Benbrook	4.1%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.06	Benbrook	2.6%	4.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.06	Fort Worth	2.6%	4.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.07	Benbrook	4.6%	5.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1109.07	Fort Worth	4.6%	5.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.03	Edgecliff Village	13.0%	4.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.03	Fort Worth	13.0%	4.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.05	Fort Worth	45.4%	19.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1110.08	Crowley	15.8%	8.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.08	Fort Worth	15.8%	8.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.1	Fort Worth	1.9%	6.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.11	Fort Worth	43.9%	9.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1110.12	Fort Worth	42.2%	5.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1110.13	Crowley	29.6%	11.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1110.13	Fort Worth	29.6%	11.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1110.15	Crowley	7.9%	2.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.15	Fort Worth	7.9%	2.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.16	Burleson	6.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.16	Crowley	6.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.16	Fort Worth	6.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.17	Fort Worth	25.5%	3.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1110.18	Crowley	7.2%	4.7%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1110.18	Fort Worth	7.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1111.02	Forest Hill	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1111.02	Fort Worth	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1111.02	Kennedale	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1111.03	Forest Hill	32.8%	26.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1111.04	Forest Hill	52.7%	3.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1112.02	Everman	27.7%	17.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1112.03	Fort Worth	12.8%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1112.03	Pelican Bay	12.8%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1112.04	Burleson	5.0%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1112.04	Fort Worth	5.0%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1112.04	Pelican Bay	5.0%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.01	Fort Worth	7.6%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.01	Mansfield	7.6%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.01	Pelican Bay	7.6%	11.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.04	Mansfield	7.5%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.06	Mansfield	12.5%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.06	Pelican Bay	12.5%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.07	Mansfield	23.0%	20.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.08	Mansfield	4.1%	7.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.09	Mansfield	9.9%	17.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.1	Arlington	34.7%	11.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1113.1	Grand Prairie	34.7%	11.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1113.11	Arlington	16.0%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.11	Mansfield	16.0%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.12	Mansfield	4.9%	1.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.13	Grand Prairie	19.4%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.13	Mansfield	19.4%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1113.14	Mansfield	28.5%	6.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1114.02	Arlington	11.3%	6.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.02	Arlington	11.3%	6.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.04	Kennedale	18.6%	7.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.05	Arlington	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1114.05	Fort Worth	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1114.05	Kennedale	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1114.06	Arlington	13.4%	0.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.06	Mansfield	13.4%	0.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.07	Arlington	10.0%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.08	Arlington	14.2%	3.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.08	Kennedale	14.2%	3.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1114.08	Mansfield	14.2%	3.1%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1114.09	Arlington	8.8%	4.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.05	Arlington	5.2%	8.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.06	Arlington	14.8%	11.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.06	Dalworthington	14.8%	11.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.06	Pantego	14.8%	11.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.13	Arlington	27.4%	9.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.13	Grand Prairie	27.4%	9.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.14	Arlington	26.2%	4.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.16	Arlington	25.4%	10.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.21	Arlington	31.9%	30.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.22	Arlington	16.1%	13.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.23	Arlington	38.5%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.24	Arlington	23.0%	15.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.25	Arlington	18.7%	17.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.26	Arlington	18.2%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.26	Pantego	18.2%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.29	Arlington	5.5%	2.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.29	Dalworthington	5.5%	2.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.3	Arlington	8.1%	1.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.31	Arlington	7.7%	3.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.32	Arlington	12.2%	7.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.32	Kennedale	12.2%	7.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.33	Arlington	9.5%	5.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.34	Arlington	10.3%	3.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.36	Arlington	43.1%	23.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.36	Grand Prairie	43.1%	23.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.37	Arlington	35.0%	11.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.37	Grand Prairie	35.0%	11.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.38	Arlington	33.7%	7.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.38	Grand Prairie	33.7%	7.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.39	Grand Prairie	31.7%	3.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.4	Arlington	28.2%	6.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.41	Arlington	28.1%	14.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.42	Arlington	28.5%	1.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.43	Arlington	44.4%	27.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.44	Arlington	26.0%	6.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.45	Arlington	6.2%	7.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.45	Dalworthington	6.2%	7.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.46	Arlington	8.4%	9.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.47	Arlington	34.1%	8.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.47	Grand Prairie	34.1%	8.1%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1115.48	Grand Prairie	36.0%	6.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.49	Grand Prairie	29.7%	5.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.49	Mansfield	29.7%	5.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.5	Arlington	36.3%	12.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.5	Mansfield	36.3%	12.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1115.51	Mansfield	20.7%	7.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.52	Arlington	7.4%	9.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.52	Dalworthington	7.4%	9.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1115.53	Arlington	18.9%	16.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1130.01	Arlington	16.6%	5.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1130.01	Grand Prairie	16.6%	5.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1130.02	Arlington	33.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1130.02	Grand Prairie	33.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.02	Arlington	23.5%	7.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.02	Fort Worth	23.5%	7.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.04	Arlington	28.4%	17.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.07	Arlington	3.8%	3.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.08	Arlington	3.9%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.08	Fort Worth	3.9%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.09	Arlington	29.0%	11.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.1	Arlington	32.8%	13.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.11	Arlington	42.3%	28.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.12	Arlington	23.9%	21.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.13	Grand Prairie	36.7%	5.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.14	Arlington	29.6%	7.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.15	Arlington	25.7%	12.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1131.16	Arlington	42.1%	21.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1131.16	Grand Prairie	42.1%	21.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1132.06	Haltom City	5.6%	17.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.06	North Richland	5.6%	17.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.07	North Richland	3.0%	4.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.1	North Richland	2.6%	1.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.12	Haltom City	4.1%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.12	North Richland	4.1%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.13	Hurst	3.5%	7.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.13	North Richland	3.5%	7.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.14	North Richland	7.0%	14.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.15	North Richland	4.9%	3.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.16	North Richland	7.5%	10.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.17	North Richland	8.0%	11.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.18	Hurst	2.3%	3.2%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1132.18	North Richland	2.3%	3.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.2	North Richland	8.7%	16.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1132.21	North Richland	7.6%	4.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1133.01	Haltom City	2.6%	10.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1133.01	North Richland	2.6%	10.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1133.01	Richland Hills	2.6%	10.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1133.02	Haltom City	3.9%	15.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1133.02	Richland Hills	3.9%	15.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.03	Hurst	4.8%	6.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.03	North Richland	4.8%	6.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.04	Bedford	6.8%	8.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.04	Hurst	6.8%	8.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.05	Hurst	9.5%	7.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.05	Richland Hills	9.5%	7.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.07	Euless	7.8%	30.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1134.07	Hurst	7.8%	30.0%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1134.08	Fort Worth	6.0%	5.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1134.08	Hurst	6.0%	5.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.09	Euless	6.6%	21.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.1	Euless	13.0%	7.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.1	Fort Worth	13.0%	7.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.11	Bedford	12.7%	12.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.11	Euless	12.7%	12.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.12	Bedford	9.9%	9.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.12	Euless	9.9%	9.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.13	Euless	10.2%	9.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.13	Fort Worth	10.2%	9.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.14	Euless	12.7%	22.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.16	Euless	8.4%	3.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.17	Euless	13.5%	5.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.18	Euless	20.4%	7.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.19	Euless	5.6%	8.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1135.2	Euless	9.9%	2.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.07	Bedford	9.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.07	Hurst	9.5%	7.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.1	Colleyville	2.5%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.1	Hurst	2.5%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.1	Keller	2.5%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.1	North Richland	2.5%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.11	Colleyville	2.7%	3.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.11	Hurst	2.7%	3.6%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1136.11	North Richland	2.7%	3.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.12	Bedford	2.0%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.12	Colleyville	2.0%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.12	Hurst	2.0%	1.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.13	Bedford	2.2%	8.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.13	Hurst	2.2%	8.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.18	Bedford	8.0%	5.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.19	Eules	26.6%	19.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1136.19	Hurst	26.6%	19.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1136.22	Bedford	2.0%	1.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.22	Colleyville	2.0%	1.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.22	Eules	2.0%	1.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.23	Bedford	2.9%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.23	Eules	2.9%	10.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.24	Bedford	4.5%	1.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.25	Bedford	1.9%	1.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.25	Colleyville	1.9%	1.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.26	Bedford	2.7%	4.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.27	Bedford	12.6%	5.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.28	Bedford	16.1%	9.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.29	Bedford	6.2%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.29	Hurst	6.2%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.3	Bedford	10.0%	12.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.31	Grapevine	5.6%	16.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.32	Grapevine	2.0%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.33	Colleyville	2.2%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.33	Grapevine	2.2%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.34	Colleyville	2.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1136.34	Grapevine	2.4%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.03	Flower Mound	4.1%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.03	Grapevine	4.1%	10.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.05	Grapevine	7.1%	13.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.05	Southlake	7.1%	13.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.07	Grapevine	1.2%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.07	Southlake	1.2%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.09	Grapevine	3.2%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.1	Grapevine	4.7%	14.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1137.11	Grapevine	2.3%	7.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.03	Keller	5.3%	3.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.03	Watauga	5.3%	3.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.08	Watauga	5.2%	8.6%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1138.09	Fort Worth	3.6%	8.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.09	Watauga	3.6%	8.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.1	Haltom City	5.0%	13.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.1	North Richland	5.0%	13.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.1	Watauga	5.0%	13.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.11	Haltom City	5.0%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.11	North Richland	5.0%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.11	Watauga	5.0%	7.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.12	Fort Worth	4.2%	5.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.12	Keller	4.2%	5.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.12	Watauga	4.2%	5.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.13	Keller	3.3%	2.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.13	North Richland	3.3%	2.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.14	North Richland	2.5%	0.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.15	Keller	2.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.15	North Richland	2.7%	1.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1138.16	Keller	3.4%	5.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.06	Southlake	2.7%	2.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.06	Trophy Club	2.7%	2.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.06	Westlake	2.7%	2.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.07	Grapevine	2.7%	2.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.07	Southlake	2.7%	2.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.07	Trophy Club	2.7%	2.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.07	Westlake	2.7%	2.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.08	Keller	2.4%	2.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.08	Southlake	2.4%	2.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.09	Colleyville	1.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.09	Grapevine	1.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.09	Southlake	1.8%	2.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.1	Fort Worth	1.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.1	Keller	1.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.1	Southlake	1.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.1	Westlake	1.9%	2.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.11	Keller	2.7%	5.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.12	Keller	2.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.12	Southlake	2.6%	4.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.16	Fort Worth	9.8%	15.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.17	Fort Worth	14.0%	9.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.17	Watauga	14.0%	9.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.18	Fort Worth	9.4%	7.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.19	Fort Worth	5.0%	1.9%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1139.2	Fort Worth	6.9%	1.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.2	Watauga	6.9%	1.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.21	Fort Worth	8.7%	7.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.21	Keller	8.7%	7.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.22	Fort Worth	8.9%	3.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.23	Fort Worth	12.4%	3.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.24	Fort Worth	9.6%	7.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.25	Fort Worth	7.3%	1.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.26	Fort Worth	11.6%	4.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.26	Haslet	11.6%	4.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.27	Fort Worth	9.0%	2.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.27	Roanoke	9.0%	2.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.28	Fort Worth	9.1%	0.6%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.29	Fort Worth	5.8%	1.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1139.29	Keller	5.8%	1.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.03	Blue Mound	8.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.03	Fort Worth	8.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.03	Saginaw	8.2%	3.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.05	Saginaw	3.6%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.06	Saginaw	2.0%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.07	Fort Worth	8.2%	5.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.07	Lake Worth	8.2%	5.9%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.08	Fort Worth	12.4%	9.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1140.08	Saginaw	12.4%	9.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.02	Fort Worth	2.3%	5.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.03	Fort Worth	6.6%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.03	Haslet	6.6%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.03	Saginaw	6.6%	4.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.04	Briar	4.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.04	Fort Worth	4.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.04	Newark	4.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.04	Pecan Acres	4.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1141.04	Saginaw	4.2%	4.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.03	Azle	0.9%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.03	Briar	0.9%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.03	Pecan Acres	0.9%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.03	Pelican Bay	0.9%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.03	Reno	0.9%	13.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.04	Azle	1.0%	14.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.05	Azle	0.7%	13.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.05	Fort Worth	0.7%	13.1%		WTA	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1142.06	Azle	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1142.06	Fort Worth	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1142.06	Lakeside	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1142.07	Fort Worth	6.6%	7.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1142.07	Lakeside	6.6%	7.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.01	Arlington	12.5%	12.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.04	Arlington	24.0%	10.7%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.05	Arlington	6.0%	6.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.06	Arlington	7.9%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.06	Fort Worth	7.9%	3.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.08	Arlington	6.4%	3.4%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.09	Arlington	8.3%	1.1%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.1	Arlington	8.3%	4.3%		WTA	
Tarrant	1216.11	Arlington	14.1%	4.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1217.02	Arlington	23.7%	12.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1217.03	Arlington	16.8%	29.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1217.04	Arlington	10.8%	26.9%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.03	Arlington	21.5%	27.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.03	Grand Prairie	21.5%	27.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.04	Arlington	18.3%	24.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.04	Grand Prairie	18.3%	24.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.05	Arlington	27.9%	45.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1219.06	Arlington	17.5%	25.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1220.01	Arlington	6.1%	17.5%		WTA	
Tarrant	1220.02	Arlington	10.8%	13.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1221	Arlington	6.7%	25.1%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1222	Arlington	14.9%	26.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1223	Arlington	16.2%	50.2%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1224	Arlington	12.6%	34.6%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1225	Arlington	8.3%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1225	Pantego	8.3%	11.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1226	Arlington	8.9%	12.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1226	Pantego	8.9%	12.2%		WTA	
Tarrant	1227	Arlington	14.9%	21.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1228.01	Arlington	20.4%	37.3%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1228.02	Arlington	10.6%	27.5%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1229	Arlington	10.5%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1230	Fort Worth	8.6%	19.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1230	Westover Hills	8.6%	19.8%		WTA	
Tarrant	1231	Fort Worth	53.8%	48.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1232	Fort Worth	19.8%	24.3%		Not Eligible	

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black 2010	Percent Below Poverty 2010	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status 2010	If WTA by Agreement
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA			
Tarrant	1233	Fort Worth	18.8%	21.0%		WTA	
Tarrant	1234	Fort Worth	21.2%	22.7%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1235	Fort Worth	51.0%	56.4%		Not Eligible	
Tarrant	1236	Fort Worth	27.8%	59.0%		Not Eligible	

1 Monthly Walker Client Contact Report Summary For the Month _____	MONTHLY PLACEMENT TEAM ACTIVITY
	TOTAL NUMBER OF BRIEFINGS CONDUCTED
	Number of Walker Relocation Briefings Conducted
	Number of New Walker Briefings Conducted
	TOTAL NUMBER OF CLIENTS BRIEFED
	Number of Walker Relocation Clients Briefed
	Number of New Walker Clients Briefed
	TOTAL NUMBER OF NO SHOWS
	Number of Walker Relocation No-Shows
	Number of New Walker No-Shows
	EXTENSIONS GRANTED

2 All Active HAP Contracts For the Month _____	Tenant
	HOH Name (3b)
	Unit Address (5a)
	Unit
	Move In
	Race (3k)
	Member Ethnicity (3m)
	Census Tract
	DHA Property/Program Code
	Structure Type 5(k)

3 Landlord Services Walker Activity Report For the Month _____	Walker Settlement Related Activity

4 Walker Client Termination/Cessation of Participation For the Month _____	Tenant
	Member FirstName (3c)
	Member LastName (3b)
	Status
	Effective Date (2b)
	Member Relation (3h)
	Member Sex (3g)
	Member Age - as of date (3f)
	Member DOB (3e)
	Household Total Num (3t)
	# Voucher Bedrooms (12a)
	Bedroom In Unit (5d)
	Payment Standard (12j)
	Census Tract
	Race (3k)
	Member Ethnicity (3m)
	Member Disabled (3j)
	Adjusted Annual Income (8y)
	Address1
	Address2
	Address3
	City
	State
	Zip
Unit	



EXHIBIT 2: REPORTING FORMATS

Contract Rent To Owner (12k)
Tenant Rent (12v)
TTP (12r)
HAP (12u)
Utility Allowance (12m)
Gross Rent (12p)
Lower Rent (12q)
Total HAP (12s)
Total Family Share (12t)
URP (12w)
Next Re-exam due (2i)
Admission Date (2h)
Move In
Move Out
Current Action (2a)
Correction 58? (2c)
Correction Date (2e)
Date Created
Approved By
Date Modified
HAP Recipient Name (12h)
Property/Program
Move Out Reason

<p>5 Walker Client 50058 Information For the Month _____</p>	Tenant
	Member FirstName (3c)
	Member LastName (3b)
	Status
	Effective Date (2b)
	Member Relation (3h)
	Member Sex (3g)
	Member Age - as of date (3f)
	Member DOB (3e)
	Household Total Num (3t)
	# Voucher Bedrooms (12a)
	Bedroom In Unit (5d)
	Payment Standard (12j)
	Census Tract
	Race (3k)
	Member Ethnicity (3m)
	Member Disabled (3j)
	Adjusted Annual Income (8y)
	Address1
	Address2
	Address3
	City
	State
	Zip
	Unit
Contract Rent To Owner (12k)	
Tenant Rent (12v)	

EXHIBIT 2: REPORTING FORMATS

	TTP (12r)
	HAP (12u)
	Utility Allowance (12m)
	Gross Rent (12p)
	Lower Rent (12q)
	Total HAP (12s)
	Total Family Share (12t)
	URP (12w)
	Next Re-exam due (2i)
	Admission Date (2h)
	Move In
	Move Out
	Current Action (2a)
	Correction 58? (2c)
	Correction Date (2e)
	Date Created
	Approved By
	Date Modified
	HAP Recipient Name (12h)
	Property/Program
	Structure Type 5(k)

6 List of Walker Vouchers Exceeding 60 Days For the Month _____	Property
	Tenant
	Name
	Size
	Date

QUARTERLY REPORT

1 WSV Financial Assistance Report For the Quarter Ending _____	Property
	Property Name
	Account
	Account Name
	Date
	Period
	Person/Description
	Control
	Reference
	Debit
	Credit
	Net
	Remarks
	Fund
	Cost Center
	Project
Tenant Number	
Unit Address	
Census Tract	

BI-ANNUAL REPORT

1 HCV 50058 Report	Tenant
---------------------------	--------

For January 31 & July 31

Member FirstName (3c)
Member LastName (3b)
Status
Effective Date (2b)
Member Relation (3h)
Member Sex (3g)
Member Age - as of date (3f)
Member DOB (3e)
Household Total Num (3t)
Voucher Bedrooms (12a)
Bedroom In Unit (5d)
Payment Standard (12j)
Census Tract
Race (3k)
Member Ethnicity (3m)
Member Disabled (3j)
Adjusted Annual Income (8y)
Address1
Address2
Address3
City
State
Zip
Unit
Contract Rent To Owner (12k)
Tenant Rent (12v)
TTP (12r)
HAP (12u)
Utility Allowance (12m)
Gross Rent (12p)
Lower Rent (12q)
Total HAP (12s)
Total Family Share (12t)
URP (12w)
Next Re-exam due (2i)
Admission Date (2h)
Move In
Move Out
Current Action (2a)
Correction 58? (2c)
Correction Date (2e)
Date Created
Approved By
Date Modified
HAP Recipient Name (12h)
Property/Program
Structure Type 5(k)

ANNUAL REPORTS

1 Landlord Contact Report Summary
For FY20XX

REAL ESTATE TEAM ACTIVITY
Units Not Previously Rented On DHA S8 Program

EXHIBIT 2: REPORTING FORMATS

	New Landlord Vendors Added
	Prospect/Landlord Walk-Ins
	Prospects/Landlords Provided Phone Assistance
	Landlord Workshops Conducted
	Prospects/Landlords Briefed
	Walker Settlement Bonuses Paid
	Newsletters Emailed to Landlord Vendors

2 <u>DHA Annual Report</u> For FY20XX	Annual Expenditure of mobility financial assistance
	Agreements reached by parties
	Other information pursuant to the Agreed Judgment